arXiv:hep-th/9607101v1 10 Jul 1996

Preprint No. IMSC - 93/26

A CALCULUS FOR $SU(3)$ LEADING TO AN ALGEBRAIC FORMULA FOR THE CLEBSCH-GORDAN COEFFICIENTS

J.S. Prakash and H.S. Sharatchandra

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences,

Madras-600 113, India.

e-mail: jsp@iopb.ernet.in, sharat@imsc.ernet.in

ABSTRACT: We develop a simple computational tool for $SU(3)$ analogous to Bargmann's calculus for $SU(2)$. Crucial new inputs are, (i) explicit representation of the Gelfand-Zetlin basis in terms of polynomials in four variables and positive or negative integral powers of a fifth variable (ii) an auxiliary Gaussian measure with respect to which the Gelfand-Zetlin states are orthogonal but not normalized (iii) simple generating functions for generating all basis states and also all invariants. As an illustration of our techniques, an algebraic formula for the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients is obtained for the first time. This involves only Gaussian integrations. Thus $SU(3)$ is made as accessible for computations as $SU(2)$ is.

1 Introduction

Compact Lie groups have been extensively studied from different viewpoints[1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. In spite of this, there are gaps in our understanding which are keenly felt in specific applications. This has mostly to do with the absence of a viable scheme of general computations. For example, there is no algebraic formula for the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients of even the $SU(3)$ group, in spite of extensive work by a generation of mathematical physicists. This is in contrast to $SU(2)$ group, where it seems that everything can be computed in more than one way. Somehow, every technique that works for $SU(2)$ does not appear to have a simple generalization for other groups.

In this paper we develop techniques which provide a simple computation tool for $SU(3)$. Our aim is to highlight the flexibility available for computations of various objects of interest in representation theory.In particular we obtain a closed formula for the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients of $SU(3)$. With a couple of new inputs it might be possible to use our techniques for other groups also. We have borrowed ideas heavily from many earlier workers. We have made some conceptual and technical advances which together have enabled us to provide a simple tool.

We now give a summary of the earlier works, with specific reference to $SU(3)$. An excellent summary of the situation up to 1971 is contained in the Appendix of the review by Smorodinskii and Shelepin [13]. Our summary is by no means complete and accurate.

In $SU(2)$ [13, 14] case there are broadly three computational tools. (a) infinitesimal approach, (b) polynomial basis and generating invariants, (c) use of relationship with the symmetric group. Within each approach there have been many different ways [13, 14] of deriving formulae for the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. Almost every one of these variations has been tried for $SU(3)$, but each has led to obstacles.

A major obstacle encountered in any approach is the outer multiplicity problem. In the decomposition into irreducible representations (IRs) of a Kronecker product of two IRs of $SU(n)$, $n > 3$, a given IR may appear more than once. These repeating IRs cannot be distinguished by the matrix elements of the generators. We need to understand how the repeating IRs may be distinguished, labeled in a convenient and canonical way and handled. Extensive efforts have been put into this problem. At least in the $SU(3)$ case, the problem has been essentially resolved by many authors using diverse techniques and often without the knowledge of previous works. New Casimirs (‘Chiral Casimirs’) which distinguish between repeating IRs have been constructed [15, 16, 17]. Biedenharn and his collaborators have obtained a ’canonical resolution’ of the multiplicity problem [18, 19]. An explicit formula for multiplicity has been obtained by Coleman [20] by analyzing the Littlewood-Richardson rule; Jasselette [21, 22] and Resnikoff [23] by applying the theory of invariants; O’Reilly [24] by a detailed and careful analysis of the Kronecker product. Anishetty, Gadiyar, Mathur and Sharatchandra [25] recently reinterpreted these results to give the most explicit formula, analogous to the triangle rule for the addition of angular momenta in $SU(2)$. The advantage of this formula is that the IRs in the Kronecker product are labeled by *free* integers which are subject only to additive constraints by the two IRs one started with. This therefore provides a ’natural labeling’ of the repeating IRs. Gadiyar and Sharatchandra [26] have recently solved the multiplicity problem for $SU(n)$ for any n. This is done by obtaining an explicit algebraic solution of the Littlewood- Richardson rule in terms of free integers.

In the infinitesimal approach to $SU(2)$, the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients are computed as follows. A recursion relation is obtained by considering the action of the Lie algebra on the direct product space. This does not work as it is for $SU(3)$ and other groups. The Lie algebra does not provide enough number of recursion relations to be able to compute all Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. The reason is the multiplicity problem. Biedenharn and collaborators [27, 28] have emphasized the need to define a basic set of irreducible tensor operators. The set they construct provides a 'canonical resolution' of the multiplicity problem. For a review see [19, 29, 30]. Their 'pattern calculus' provides a framework for computing the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. There has been extensive formal work in this direction. It has led to significant concepts such as a global algebraic formulation of $SU(3)$ tensor operator structure [27] and the denominator functions [28] which have wider ramifications [31, 32, 33, 34, 35]. In addition, this approach has been very useful for practical algorithms [36, 37, 38] and symbolic manipulation programs [39]. However the approach has not (yet!) led to an algebraic formula for the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients of $SU(3)$.

The starting point of the second approach is the construction of a convenient *model space* i.e. a concrete realization of (say, on a function space,) the basis of every irreducible unitary representations of the group. In case of $SU(2)$, the simplest realization of the basis is as polynomials in two complex variables. This was known to Weyl [8] and was used by van der Waerden [40], Cartan [41] and Kramers [42, 43]. It is related to the spinor representation of $SO(3)$. Schwinger's [44] boson calculus is also related to this. This approach reached the peak in the work of Bargmann [54, 42] where all computations in $SU(2)$ are reduced to evaluation of Gaussian integrals. The computation of Clebsch-Gordan coefficients amounts to the construction of invariant polynomials. We will refer to this package of tools as the Bargmann calculus. We give a constructive analysis of this calculus in Sec.2. Though there are other model spaces for $SU(2)$, eg. the spherical harmonics, none provide as simple a computational tool.

There has been extensive work to generalize this second approach to other groups. Many model spaces have been constructed. Realization using polynomials [15, 45, 2, 21, 23, 46, 47] boson calculus [33, 48, 34] harmonic functions i.e. functions on coset spaces [55, 49, 50]. Gelfand and collaborators[45] have obtained a differential equation which yields the measure with respect to which the Gelfand-Zetlin basis states are orthonormal. Jasselette [21, 22] Resnikoff [23], and Karasev [46] have constructed invariant polynomials from which the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients may be obtained in principle. Resnikoff [23] made progress in using a Gaussian measure to extract the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients.

In spite of all this work, the situation is not comparable to the $SU(2)$ case. Some of the coefficients [23, 22, 46] in the formula for the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients cannot be explicitly computed. The stumbling blocks in this approach which make it so much harder to handle $SU(3)$ are the following. The realization of the basis functions in terms of polynomials is much more complicated. In fact all earlier realizations [15, 47] are analogous to the harmonic polynomials (i.e. those which are annihilated by the Laplacian operators) obtained from the defining representation of $SO(3)$ rather than to the monomials obtained from the spinor representation. Explicit construction of such basis vectors [15, 48] and working with them is not easy. Moreover the measure with respect to which the basis is orthonormal is not known in a closed form [45]. Even were it known explicitly, the hope of computing with it appears remote. Invariant polynomials in the space of three IR's can be easily built [21, 23, 46]. However the invariant polynomial consistent with the three given IR's is not unique in general. The coefficients have to be fixed by demanding that they be expandable in terms of the constrained polynomials representing the basis vectors. Even this does not fix the invariant polynomial completely. This is a consequence of the multiplicity problem and requires a choice of basis to be made in the space of repeating IR's. After all this, there is no easy way of extracting the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients [15, 21, 22, 23, 46].

In this paper we show how these stumbling blocks may all be overcome. We develop a calculus which is almost as simple as Bargmann's calculus. All computations are effectively reduced to Gaussian integrations.

The first simplification we have achieved is in the explicit realization [51] of the Gelfand-Zetlin basis vectors, free of constraints. We realized this in Sec.3. Our realization uses polynomials in four complex variables and positive or negative integral powers of a fifth variable. It is related to the functions on the cone $\vec{w} \cdot \vec{z} = 0$ where $\vec{z}$ and $\vec{w}$ each are triplets of complex variables [2, 47]. We choose a specific parameterization of the cone. (i.e. eliminating w_3) and explicitly construct Gelfand-Zetlin basis for the functions on the space. With our parameterization, we are using all polynomials and not just a subset as in earlier works.

Our realization is not as simple as the monomial basis for $SU(2)$. However, in Sec.4 we use a generating function which generates all the (unnormalized) basis functions of every IR. This generating function is as simple as the "principal vectors" of Bargmann's calculus.

At this stage of our formulation the normalizations of our basis vectors are not known. The normalization is to be determined by requiring that the representation matrix for each IR be unitary. It is always a headache to compute the normalization [15, 48]. The great advantage of Bargmann's calculus is the Gaussian measure which permits explicit and easy computations. It is fortuitous that in the $SU(2)$ case the measure with respect to which the Gelfand-Zetlin states are orthonormal is so simple. In order to retain this computability, we construct an auxiliary Gaussian measure with respect to which the Gelfand-Zetlin basis vectors are orthogonal but are not automatically normalized (Sec.5). In fact we use this measure to compute the normalization itself by requiring that the representation matrix in each IR be unitary (Sec.6). This way we are killing two birds with one stone: To start with, the normalization and the measure are both unknown. A simple auxiliary measure is constructed and used to compute the normalization itself. The basis we use also leads to a simplification in the form of invariants in the direct product space of three IR's (Sec.8). This is a consequence of using the cone $\vec{w} \cdot \vec{z} = 0$. The invariant polynomial corresponding to a choice of a repeating IR is now uniquely known and there are no unknown coefficients to be fixed separately (Sec.8). Therefore the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients

(Sec.7) can be obtained by simply expanding this polynomial in the basis vectors of each IR. We are assured that such an expansion exists because our basis spans all polynomials in contrast to the constrained polynomials of the earlier works.

In $SU(2)$ case, the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients are simply obtained by reading off the coefficients of the right monomial in the invariant polynomial. But our basis is more complicated. In order to obtain the coefficients in the expansion, we again use our auxiliary measure. We introduce a generating function for the invariant polynomials themselves (Sec.9). This way all Clebsch-Gordan coefficients are being computed in one shot. Moreover, it is easier to do these computations than with each invariant polynomial individually. The Gaussian measure is used to find the inner product of the generating function for the basis vectors of the three IR's with the generating function for the invariant polynomials (Sec.10). There are terms in the exponent which are *apparently* cubic in this integration – a consequence of the "multiplicity problem". Remarkably however, because of the specific measure we have chosen, the integrals can all be explicitly computed.

2 An analysis of Bargmann's technique for $SU(2)$

Bargmann [54] used an axiomatic approach in his analysis. This presumes many results known from other methods. In this section we give a constructive analysis of Bargmann's techniques for $SU(2)$. This will set the stage for our techniques for $SU(3)$, making it clear as to where new ideas are required. $SU(2)$ is the group of simple unitary 2×2 matrices. Its action on $\mathcal{C}_2$, the 2-dimensional complex Euclidean space, is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{U} \in SU(2)$. The doublet of complex numbers (z_1, z_2) transforms as the irreducible representation (IR) $\underline{2}$ of $SU(2)$. In particular, z_1 represents the spin 'up' state and z_2, the spin 'down' state. States of an arbitrarily high spin can be obtained from a large enough collection of spin 1/2 particles. In particular, states of spin J can be obtained from a system of $2J$ number of identical spin $\frac{1}{2}$ particles, i.e. from $2J$ copies of $\mathcal{C}_2$. ($2J$ boxes in the row of Young tableaux represent spin J). This corresponds to a realization of the

IRs in the space of polynomials in z_1 and z_2 : the monomial

$$z_1^m z_2^n \tag{2}$$

describes, up to a normalization, the basis states

$$|JM>, \quad 2J = m + n, \qquad 2M = m - n \tag{3}$$

We notice that as m and n range independently over all non-negative integers, every basis state $|JM>$ of every IR is realized uniquely. This means the following.

Consider the space $\mathcal{F}_2$ whose elements are, roughly speaking, polynomials in z_1 and z_2. In this space, every IR is realized, and moreover each IR is realized once only. Thus it is a model space (see Sec.1 for the definition). In addition, the standard basis states(eq: 3) are simply realized as monomials. Thus this space is very convenient for calculations. There are further surprises to follow. The action of the group on any state is obtained in this model by transforming z_1 and z_2 in (eq: 2) as given by (eq: 1). To express this action on an arbitrary state, it is very convenient to work with the generating function,

$$\mathcal{Z}(a, b) = exp(az_1 + bz_2) \tag{4}$$

Simply by extracting the coefficient of $a^m b^n$, an unnormalized basis state (eq: 3) can be extracted. This way, we are handling all states of all IRs in one shot. Moreover, the action of the group on the generating function is very simple:

$$\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{Z}(a,b) \to \mathcal{Z}\left((a,b)\mathcal{U}\right) \tag{5}$$

The normalizations of the basis states (within an IR) are obtained by demanding the unitarity of the representation matrices on the space. It is sufficient to use group elements close to the identity for this purpose. An $SU(2)$ matrix close to identity may be represented as follows:

$$\mathcal{U} \quad \simeq \quad 1 + i(\epsilon_3\sigma_3 + \epsilon_+\sigma_+ + \epsilon_-\sigma_-) \tag{6}$$

where,

$$\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \sigma_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \sigma_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{7}$$

(Note that we are not using conventional normalization for the generators).

For unitarity, $\mathcal{U}^\dagger\mathcal{U} = 1$, we require

$$\epsilon_+^* = \epsilon_-, \quad \epsilon_3^* = \epsilon_3 \tag{8}$$

(det $\mathcal{U} = 1$ is satisfied because the matrices (eq: 7) are traceless). Using (eq: 6) in (eq: 5), we get, the following representation for the generators on our model space,

$$\pi^0 = a\frac{\partial}{\partial a} - b\frac{\partial}{\partial b}; \quad \pi^- = a\frac{\partial}{\partial b}; \quad \pi^+ = b\frac{\partial}{\partial a} \tag{9}$$

where the generators correspond to σ_3, σ_+ and σ_- respectively. The notation we are using is motivated by the isospin triplet of pions. The requirement of unitarity of the representation matrix translates into the following condition on the generators:

$$(\pi^0)^* = \pi^0, \quad (\pi^+)^* = \pi^- \tag{10}$$

where $*$ stands for the adjoint. We write formally,

$$\mathcal{Z}(a,b) = \sum_{m,n} a^m b^n |m,n) \tag{11}$$

where,

$$|m,n) = \frac{z_1^m z_2^n}{m!n!} \tag{12}$$

represent unnormalized basis states. The normalized basis states

$$|J,M> \equiv |m,n> = N^{-1/2}(m,n)|mn), \quad 2J = m+n \quad 2M = m-n \tag{13}$$

are to be obtained by requiring,

$$< m'n'|T^*|mn > = < mn|T|m'n' >^* \tag{14}$$

for every generator T. Let

$$T|mn) = \sum_{m'n'} T(mn; m'n')|m'n') \tag{15}$$

This action can be easily computed using the generating function (eq: 4) and the representation (eq: 9) of the generators. In terms of normalized states this means,

$$N^{1/2}(m,n)T|mn >= \sum_{m'n'} T(mn; m'n')N^{\frac{1}{2}}(m',n')|m'n' > \tag{16}$$

Using orthonormality,

$$< m'n'|mn >= \delta_{m'm}\delta_{n'n} \tag{17}$$

We get

$$< m'n'|T|mn >= T(mn; m'n')\frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}(m',n')}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}(m,n)} \tag{18}$$

Define $T^*(mn; m'n')$ for the generator T^*, analogously to (eq: 15). Condition (eq: 14) gives,

$$\left|\frac{N(m,n)}{N(m'n')}\right| = \frac{T(mn; m'n')}{T^*(m'n'; mn)} \tag{19}$$

This way, the relative normalizations of basis states within an IR may be computed. For the present case,

$$\pi^0 \sum a^m b^n |mn) = \sum (m-n) a^m b^n |mn)$$

$$\pi^- \sum a^m b^n |mn) = \sum a^{m+1} b^{n-1} n|mn)$$

$$\pi^+ \sum a^m b^n |mn) = \sum a^{m-1} b^{n+1} m|mn) \tag{20}$$

Comparing like powers of a and b, we get,

$$\pi^0(mn; mn) = m - n$$

$$\pi^-(mn; m-1, n+1) = (n+1)$$

$$\pi^+(mn; m+1, n-1) = (m+1) \tag{21}$$

Other matrix elements are zero. π^0 in (eq: 19) does not lead to any constraints on the normalizations. This is because it is diagonal in the chosen basis. However, using (eq: 19) for $\pi^\pm$, we get,

$$\left|\frac{N(m,n)}{N(m-1,n+1)}\right| = \frac{n+1}{m} \tag{22}$$

We choose the solution,

$$N(m,n) = \frac{1}{m!n!} \tag{23}$$

The solution is determined only up to (i) any function of the sum $m+n = 2J$, (ii) an arbitrary phase factor. (i) means that the relative normalization of states in different IRs is not fixed by our criterion. This is to be expected because unitarity of the representation matrix constrains relative normalizations of the basis states only within each IR. For any phase, unitarity is assured, and corresponds to a choice of the phases of the basis states.

Our orthonormalized basis states are represented by

$$|mn> \quad = \quad \frac{z_1^m z_2^n}{\sqrt{m!}\sqrt{n!}} \tag{24}$$

It would be easy to guess the measure with respect to which this basis is orthonormal. Define the inner product:

$$(f,g) = \int \frac{d^2 z_1}{\pi}\frac{d^2 z_2}{\pi} exp(-\bar{z}_1 z_1 - \bar{z}_2 z_2)\overline{f(z_1,z_2)}g(z_1,z_2) \tag{25}$$

for functions in $\mathcal{F}_2$. The states (eq: 24) are orthonormal with respect to this measure. Note that the measure is invariant under the action of the group (eq: 1). We are led to a simple, Gaussian measure. As a consequence, it is easy to obtain a general formula for the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. We now review this method.

Consider the direct product of two IRs, J_1 and J_2. This representation of the group is reducible in general. Consider its decomposition into various irreducible components:

$$|(J_1 J_2) J_3 M_3 > = \sum_{M_1, M_2} C^{J_1\ J_2\ J_3}_{M_1 M_2 M_3} |J_1 M_1 > |J_2 M_2 > \tag{26}$$

The coefficients in the expansion are the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. It is more convenient and symmetric to write this as follows. Given two IRs, J_1 and J_2, it is possible to form a non-trivial combination invariant under the group, only if $J_1 = J_2$ and in this case,

$$\sum (-1)^{(J-M)} |J, M > |J, -M >= \text{invariant} \tag{27}$$

As a consequence (eq: 26) may be reinterpreted as follows. Given three IRs, J_1, J_2 and J_3, try and form a (non-trivial) invariant combination.

$$\sum_{M_1, M_2, M_3} \begin{pmatrix} J_1 & J_2 & J_3 \\ & & \\ M_1 & M_2 & M_3 \end{pmatrix} |J_1 M_1 > |J_2 M_2 > |J_3 M_3 > \tag{28}$$

The coefficients are the $3 - j$ symbols.

Now represent the three IRs by homogeneous polynomials of degrees $2J_1$, $2J_2$, $2J_3$ in variables (z_1^1, z_2^1), (z_1^2, z_2^2) and (z_1^3, z_2^3), respectively. Then (eq: 28) corresponds to forming an invariant combination out of such polynomials. It is easy to do this. Invariant theory implies that any invariant polynomial in the six variables is a polynomial in the three independent invariants,

$$(z_1^1 z_2^2 - z_2^1 z_1^2), \quad (z_1^2 z_2^3 - z_2^2 z_1^3), \quad (z_1^3 z_2^1 - z_2^3 z_1^1) \tag{29}$$

In order to satisfy our homogeneity requirements, we need non-negative integers N_1, N_2 and N_3 in :

$$(z_1^1 z_2^2 - z_2^1 z_1^2)^{N_3} (z_1^2 z_2^3 - z_2^2 z_1^3)^{N_1} (z_1^3 z_2^1 - z_2^3 z_1^1)^{N_2} \tag{30}$$

such that

$$2J_1 = N_2 + N_3, \quad 2J_2 = N_3 + N_1, \quad 2J_3 = N_1 + N_2 \tag{31}$$

For given J_1, J_2 and J_3, the only solution is,

$$N_1 = J_2 + J_3 - J_1$$

$$N_2 = J_3 + J_1 - J_2$$

$$N_3 = J_1 + J_2 - J_3 \tag{32}$$

if the right hand side are all non-negative. Thus if J_1,J_2 and J_3 satisfy the triangle condition, there is a unique invariant. Otherwise there is no non-trivial invariant. Thus the $3 - j$ symbols are obtained (up-to an overall normalization depending only on the total spins J_1, J_2 and J_3) by simply extracting in (eq: 30) the coefficients of the monomials (eq: 24) in the three sets of variables.

To obtain a formula for the $3-j$ symbols, we have to apply the binomial theorem, and extract the relevant powers of the monomials. We get, (up-to a normalization),

$$\sum_{p_a,q_a}\prod_{a=1}^{3}\frac{(-1)^{q_a}}{p_a!q_a!} \tag{33}$$

where the sum is over all non - negative integers p_a, q_a satisfying the following matrix equation.

$$\begin{pmatrix} N_1 & N_2 & N_3 \\ m^1 & m^2 & m^3 \\ n^1 & n^2 & n^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} q_1+p_1 & q_2+p_2 & q_3+p_3 \\ q_2+p_3 & q_3+p_1 & q_1+p_1 \\ q_3+p_2 & q_1+p_3 & q_2+p_1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{34}$$

3 A Model Space for $SU(3)$

Our first task is to construct a convenient model space for $SU(3)$ (model space has been defined in Sec. 1). It is not possible to get a model space as simple as the one for $SU(2)$. But, we have constructed [47] a model space which is simple enough for obtaining general formulae. We provide an ab initio review of this construction in this section.

In case of $SU(2)$ all IRs could be constructed from the defining representation $\underline{2}$. This is no longer true for other semi-simple groups.Consider a triplet (z_1, z_1, z_3) of complex numbers transforming as the defining representation $\underline{3}$ of $SU(3)$. By considering polynomials in these complex variables we can only build totally symmetric tensors of $SU(3)$. Such IRs are represented by Young's tableaux with just our row. A general Young's tableau has two rows, some columns having two boxes and the rest having one box. In order to build a general IR, observe that an IR with one column of two rows corresponds to the $\underline{3^*}$ of $SU(3)$. Therefore a general IR can be built using a direct product of $\underline{3^*}$s and $\underline{3}$s. Further, the tensors corresponding to the Young's tableaux are symmetric in indices along each row. This means that it suffices to consider direct products which are totally symmetric in the $\underline{3^*}$s and in the $\underline{3}$s. Therefore, we may build a general IR in the space of polynomials in two triplets of complex numbers (z_1, z_2, z_3) and (w_1, w_2, w_3) transforming as $\underline{3}$ and $\underline{3}^*$ of $SU(3)$, respectively. (All this is a heuristic explanation of a result proven in [1, 2]).

IRs of $SU(3)$ are conveniently labeled by two arbitrary non-negative integers (M, N) which stand for the number of columns with one box and two boxes, respectively. Such an IR can be realized using polynomials of degree M in the z's and N in the w's, i.e., polynomials built from the monomials,

$$z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} z_3^{m_3} w_1^{n_1} w_2^{n_2} w_3^{n_3} \tag{35}$$

with,

$$m_1 + m_2 + m_3 = M, \qquad n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = N \tag{36}$$

However, this space contains some other IRs (M', N') with $M' < M$ and $N' < N$. The reason is that it is possible to form an $SU(3)$ invariant $\vec{w} \cdot \vec{z}$. This is again a major difference from the $SU(2)$ case. A simple way to remove the unwanted IRs is to impose the constraint,

$$\vec{w} \cdot \vec{z} = 0 \tag{37}$$

where,

$$\vec{w} \cdot \vec{z} = w_1 z_1 + w_2 z_2 + w_3 z_3 \tag{38}$$

(i.e we are constraining our variables to a cone in C_6). The IR (M, N) is now uniquely realized in the subspace with constraints (eq: 36).

We are forced to contend with the constraint (eq: 37) in order to get a model space. We obtain an explicit and simple enough basis by simply eliminating w_3 (say), in favor of the other five variables.

$$w_3 = -\frac{1}{z_3}(w_1 z_1 + w_2 z_2) \tag{39}$$

Thus our space is spanned by the monomials, (allowing for negative powers of z_3)

$$z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} w_1^{n_1} w_2^{n_2} (w_1 z_1 + w_2 z_2)^{n_3} z_3^{m_3 - n_3} \tag{40}$$

In order to get an explicit realization of the Gelfand - Zetlin basis in this space, we proceed as follows. Note that (z_1, z_2) transforms as a $\underline{2}$ and (w_1, w_2) as a $\underline{2}^*$ (which is equivalent to $\underline{2}$) under the isospin $SU(2)$ subgroup of $SU(3)$. The combination $(w_1 z_1 + w_2 z_2)$ in (3.6) is an $SU(2)$ singlet built of these two doublets. This suggests that it is useful to use the coupled basis for the isospin group. This is done as follows. The monomials $z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2}$ and $w_1^{n_1} w_2^{n_2}$ with

$$m_1 + m_2 = 2I' \qquad n_1 + n_2 = 2I'' \tag{41}$$

span the IRs of (iso)spin I' and I'', respectively. Therefore the direct product of these two spaces is a direct sum of spaces with isospin $I' + I''$, $I' + I'' - 1, \ldots$, $|I' - I''|$, each isospin appearing just once. This decomposition can be performed explicitly by the following trick : Introduce an (external) doublet (p, q) transforming as a $\underline{2}^*$ of $SU(2)$. Then the following combination is invariant under $SU(2)$:

$$(pz_1 + qz_2)^R (pw_2 - qw_1)^S (w_1 z_1 + w_2 z_2)^T \tag{42}$$

Now,

$$\frac{p^P q^Q}{\sqrt{P!}\sqrt{Q!}} \sim \, |I = \frac{P+Q}{2}, \, I_3 = \frac{-P+Q}{2} > \tag{43}$$

under $SU(2)$ transformations. On using (eq: 1) this means that the coefficient of the monomial $p^P q^Q$ corresponds to the state,

$$|(I' \, I'') I \, I_3 > \tag{44}$$

of the coupled basis, where

$$R + S = P + Q = 2I$$

$$S + T = n_1 + n_2 = 2I''$$

$$T + R = m_1 + m_2 = 2I'$$

$$P - Q = 2I_3 \tag{45}$$

This way, we are able to explicitly construct basis vectors of the coupled basis. By allowing for all non-negative integer values of P, Q, R, S and T

subject to the constraints of (eq: 45) we are simply making a change of basis from the basis (eq: 41). We make this change of basis in the space spanned by (eq: 40) (further constrained by (eq: 41)). We get an equivalent basis (as coefficients of $p^P q^Q$)in,

$$(pz_1 + qz_2)^R (pw_2 - qw_1)^S (w_1 z_1 + w_2 z_2)^{T+n_3} z_3^{m_3 - n_3} \tag{46}$$

In terms of the new parameters we have,

$$R + T + m_3 = M \qquad S + T + n_3 = N \tag{47}$$

We now notice that distinct values of m_3, n_3 and T such that $T + n_3$ and $T + m_3$ have same values correspond to the same basis vector on the cone $\vec{w} \cdot \vec{z} = 0$. This is the way that the repeating IRs in C_6 spanned by $(\vec{w}, \vec{z})$ get identified on the 5-(complex)dimensional cone $\vec{w} \cdot \vec{z} = 0$. Redefine,

$$T + m_3 = U, \qquad T + n_3 = V \tag{48}$$

where, U and V are non-negative integers. In terms of these variables (eq: 47) is,

$$R + U = M \qquad S + V = N \tag{49}$$

Also, from (eq: 44)

$$P + Q = R + S \tag{50}$$

We started with freely ranging non-negative integers m_i and n_i, subject only to the constraints (eq: 36). This translates to free non-negative integers P, Q, R, S, U, and V subject to the constraints (eq: 49) and (eq: 50).

We have finally arrived at the following explicit and convenient realization of the (unnormalized) Gelfand-Zetlin basis of an arbitrary IR of $SU(3)$: Extract the coefficients of various monomials $p^P q^Q$ in,

$$(pz_1 + qz_2)^R (pw_2 - qw_1)^S z_3^U w_3^V \tag{51}$$

where w_3 is given by (eq: 39). We will denote the space spanned by these basis vectors by $\mathcal{S}(M, N)$.

In the quark model, the basis within each IR is labeled by the quantum numbers I (total isospin), I_3 (the third component of isospin) and Y (the hypercharge) (or equivalently strangeness). These are related to our labels $(PQRSUV)$ as follows:

$$2I = P + Q = R + S, \qquad 2I_3 = P - Q,$$

$$Y = \frac{1}{3}(M - N) + V - U \equiv \frac{2}{3}(N - M) - (S - R) \tag{52}$$

Our labels are better because allowed quantum numbers within each IR (M, N) can be read off easily: R takes all values from 0 to M. S takes all values from 0 to N. For a given R and S, Q takes all values from 0 to $R + S$.

4 The generating function

Our representation (eq: 51) of the basis vectors of the IRs of $SU(3)$ is not as simple as the monomial basis of Bargmann for $SU(3)$. We need to use specific polynomials. Inspite of this it has enough features of the Bargmann's basis as to be useful for general calculations. We demonstrate this in the following sections.

The first important feature of our basis is the following. By allowing for all possible non-negative integral values for our labels $(PQRSUV)$ subject to the constraint (eq: 50), the basis for every IR is realized and moreover realized once only. Further there are homogeneity restrictions on the $\vec{z}$ and $\vec{w}$ variables. Even though we are forced to use polynomials instead of the monomials for the basis, the polynomials needed can be obtained as the coefficients of a monomial $p^P q^Q$. As a consequence of all this a simple generating function can be used to easily and uniquely generate all unnormalized basis states.

$$\mathbf{g}(p, q, r, s, u, v) = exp(r(pz_1 + qz_2) + s(pw_2 - qw_1) + uz_3 + vw_3) \tag{53}$$

The coefficient of the *monomial*,

$$p^P q^Q r^R s^S u^U v^V \tag{54}$$

generates the *unnormalized* Gelfand-Zetlin basis denoted by $|P, Q, R, S, U, V)$. Thus,

$$\mathbf{g} = \sum_{P,Q,R,S,U,V} p^P q^Q r^R s^S u^U v^V |PQRSUV) \tag{55}$$

Note that the constraint (eq: 50), $P + Q = R + S$, is automatically satisfied in the Taylor expansion of (eq: 53). We refer to the variables p,q,r,s,u and v as the sources and collectively denote them by j. Similarly, we refer to the labels P, Q, R, S, U and V as the quantum numbers and collectively denote them by E. By using the generating function (eq: 53) we have come even closer to the Bargmann's techniques for $SU(2)$.

In order to calculate the normalizations of our un-normalized basis vectors, we have to first obtain (as in sec.2) the representation of the generators as differential operators on $\mathcal{G}$. An infinitesimal $SU(3)$ matrix may be parameterized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{U} \sim\ & 1 + i(\epsilon(\pi^0)\pi^0 + \epsilon(\eta)\eta + \epsilon(\pi^+)\pi^+ + \epsilon(\pi^-)\pi^- + \epsilon(K^+)K^+ \\ & + \epsilon(K^-)K^- + \epsilon(K^0)K^0 + \epsilon(\overline{K^0})\overline{K^0})\end{aligned} \qquad (56)$$

where the (unnormalized) generators are,

$$\pi^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \eta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \pi^- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\pi^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad K^- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad K^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$K^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \overline{K^0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad (57)$$

For unitarity, we have,

$$\epsilon(\pi^0)^* = \epsilon(\pi^0); \quad \epsilon(\eta)^* = \epsilon(\eta);$$

$$\epsilon(\pi^+)^* = \epsilon(\pi^-); \quad \epsilon(K^+)^* = \epsilon(K^-);$$

$$\epsilon(K^0)^* = \epsilon(\bar{K}^0) \tag{58}$$

Under an $SU(3)$ transformation,

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} w_1 & w_2 & w_3 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} w_1 & w_2 & w_3 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{U}^\dagger \tag{59}$$

where $\mathcal{U} \in SU(3)$. This transformation is true even when w_3 is eliminated in favor of the other variables since the constraint (eq: 37) is itself invariant under $SU(3)$. In order to obtain the transformation of $\mathbf{g}$, it is convenient to write the exponent in (eq: 53) as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_p & r_q & u \end{pmatrix} . \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} w_1 & w_2 & w_3 \end{pmatrix} . \begin{pmatrix} s_q \\ s_p \\ v \end{pmatrix} \tag{60}$$

where,

$$r_p = rp, \quad r_q = rq, \quad s_p = sp, \quad s_q = -sq \tag{61}$$

It is convenient to regard r_p, r_q, s_p, and s_q as independent variables and not related by (eq: 61). Only at the end of the calculations we may set the values (eq: 61) and generate the required basis vectors. We will refer to this operation as '*going on shell*'. Thus we define the *generalized generating function*:

$$\mathcal{G}(r_p, r_q, u, s_q, s_p, v) = exp((r_p \quad r_q \quad u) \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{pmatrix} + (w_1 \quad w_2 \quad w_3) . \begin{pmatrix} s_q \\ s_p \\ v \end{pmatrix}) \qquad (62)$$

Its transformation is,

$$\mathcal{G}(r_p, r_q, u, s_q, s_p, v) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}((r_p \quad r_q \quad u) \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} s_q \\ s_p \\ v \end{pmatrix}) \qquad (63)$$

Substitute (eq: 56) and collect the coefficients of $\epsilon(\pi^+)$ etc after using (eq: 58).

We get the following representation of the generators:

$$\hat{\pi}^0 = r_p \frac{\partial}{\partial r_p} - r_q \frac{\partial}{\partial r_q} - s_q \frac{\partial}{\partial s_q} + s_p \frac{\partial}{\partial s_p}$$

$$\hat{\pi}^- = r_p \frac{\partial}{\partial r_q} - s_p \frac{\partial}{\partial s_q}$$

$$\hat{\pi}^+ = r_q \frac{\partial}{\partial r_p} - s_q \frac{\partial}{\partial s_p}$$

$$\hat{K}^- = r_p \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - v \frac{\partial}{\partial s_q}$$

$$\hat{K}^+ = u \frac{\partial}{\partial r_p} - s_q \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$$

$$\hat{K}^0 = r_q \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - v \frac{\partial}{\partial s_p}$$

$$\hat{\overline{K^0}} = u \frac{\partial}{\partial r_q} - s_p \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$$

$$\hat{\eta} = r_p \frac{\partial}{\partial r_p} + r_q \frac{\partial}{\partial r_q} - 2u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - s_p \frac{\partial}{\partial s_p} - s_q \frac{\partial}{\partial s_q} + 2v \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \tag{64}$$

For $\hat{\pi}^0$, $\hat{\pi}^\pm$, and $\hat{\eta}$ we may use (eq: 61) and get the following expressions:

$$\hat{\pi}^0 = p \frac{\partial}{\partial p} - q \frac{\partial}{\partial q}$$

$$\hat{\pi}^- = p \frac{\partial}{\partial q}$$

$$\hat{\pi}^+ = q \frac{\partial}{\partial p}$$

$$\hat{\eta} = r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - s \frac{\partial}{\partial s} - 2u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + 2v \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \tag{65}$$

However, in order to represent the other generators as differential operators, we need to regard r_p, r_q, s_p and s_q as independent variables. As a result we face the following problem. It is not easy to calculate the matrix elements,

$$(P'Q'R'S'U'V'|T|PQRSUV) \tag{66}$$

of these generators, which are needed to evaluate the normalizations (see Sec 2). Consider for example the action of $\hat{K}^-$ on $\mathbf{g}$:

$$\hat{K}^- \mathbf{g} = (rpz_3 - vw_1)\mathbf{g} \tag{67}$$

We need to re-express the effect of z_3 or w_1 multiplying a basis state (eq: 51) as a linear combination of such states. But this is not easy.

This is another stumbling block compared to situation in $SU(2)$. We devise the technique to overcome this problem in the next section.

5 An auxiliary Gaussian measure

An important reason for the efficiency of Bargmann's techniques for $SU(2)$ is the Gaussian measure, using which calculations can be performed explicitly and easily. It is obtained as the measure with respect to which the Gelfand-Zetlin vectors form an orthonormal set and the representation matrices are unitary. We have discussed this in Sec.2.

We have a model space using five complex variables $(z_1, z_2, z_3, w_1, w_2)$. The measure in this space with respect to which properly normalized basis vectors form an orthonormal set exists, in principle. Gelfand et.al [45] have obtained this measure as the solution of a differential equation in a related context. Unfortunately, this measure does not have the simplicity of the Gaussian measure for $SU(2)$. It appears that using it as a calculational tool to obtain general formulae is quite remote. This is yet another stumbling block in extending the Bargmann techniques to $SU(3)$.

We evade this problem in the following way. We construct an auxiliary measure which is amenable to computations by relaxing the condition that it gives correct *normalizations* of the Gelfand- Zetlin states. We only require that the basis states do form a *orthogonal* set with respect to the measure. This is in fact sufficient for calculations of formulae. The correct normalization of the basis states (which gives a unitary representation) is itself computed using the same measure.

Our condition on the measure may be expressed in terms of the generating function as follows. Define the inner product,

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{g}', \mathbf{g}) \quad &= \quad \int d\mu \quad exp\overline{(r'(p'z_1 + q'z_2) + s'(p'w_2 - q'w_1) + u'z_3 + v'w_3)} \\ & \qquad exp\,(r(pz_1 + qz_2) + s(pw_2 - qw_1) + uz_3 + vw_3) \qquad (68)\end{aligned}$$

between generating functions for different sets of arguments. (The over line in the first exponential means complex conjugation of the expression under it). The integration is over the variables $(z_1, z_2, z_3, w_1, w_2)$, w_3 being expressed in terms of these other variables. We want this inner product to be of the following type.

$$(\mathbf{g}', \mathbf{g}) = F(\bar{p}'p, \bar{q}'q, \bar{r}'r, \bar{s}'s, \bar{u}'u, \bar{v}'v) \qquad (69)$$

where the function F has a Taylor expansion in its arguments about the origin with every coefficient positive definite. Such a form implies that the inner product is zero whenever the powers of the variables (p,q,r,s,u,v)do not match the powers of the corresponding variables (p',q',r',s',u',v'), i.e., Gelfand-Zetlin basis vectors are mutually orthogonal. Moreover the square of the norm given by the corresponding coefficient in the Taylor expansion is positive definite.

Our measure is closely related to Bargmann's. We have two doublets (z_1,z_2) and (w_1,w_2) of $SU(2)$ and the coupled basis built using them. We know that coupled basis is obtained by an unitary transformation of the direct product basis. This means that Bargmann's measure for these two doublets ensures orthogonality of our $SU(3)$ basis vectors in so far as the (z_1,z_2,w_1,w_2) variables are concerned. To be explicit we consider,

$$\begin{aligned} F \quad = \quad & \int \frac{d^2z_1}{\pi}\frac{d^2z_2}{\pi}\frac{d^2w_1}{\pi}\frac{d^2w_2}{\pi} exp(-\bar{z}_1z_1-\bar{z}_2z_2-\bar{w}_1w_1-\bar{w}_2w_2) \\ & \times exp\left(\overline{(r'(p'z_1+q'z_2)+s'(p'w_2-q'w_1)-\frac{v'}{z_3}(z_1w_1+z_2w_2)+u'z_3}\right) \\ & \quad \times exp\left(r(pz_1+qz_2)+s(pw_2-qw_1)-\frac{v}{z_3}(z_1w_1+z_2w_2)+uz_3\right) \quad (70) \end{aligned}$$

This can be evaluated easily. Write the exponent as,

$$\begin{aligned}-(\,(\bar{z}_1 \quad w_1\,)\begin{pmatrix}1 & \overline{(\frac{v'}{z_3})}\\ \frac{v}{z_3} & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}z_1\\ \bar{w}_1\end{pmatrix} - (\,(\bar{z}_2 \quad w_2\,)\begin{pmatrix}1 & \overline{(\frac{v'}{z_3})}\\ \frac{v}{z_3} & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}z_2\\ \bar{w}_2\end{pmatrix}\\ +(\,rp \quad -\overline{(s'q')}\,)\begin{pmatrix}z_1\\ \bar{w}_1\end{pmatrix} + (\,\bar{z}_1 \quad w_1\,)\begin{pmatrix}\overline{r'p'}\\ -sq\end{pmatrix} + (\,rq \quad (\overline{s'p'})\,)\begin{pmatrix}z_2\\ \bar{w}_2\end{pmatrix}\\ +(\,\bar{z}_2 \quad w_2\,)\begin{pmatrix}\overline{(r'q')}\\ sp\end{pmatrix} + \overline{(u'z_3)} + uz_3 \qquad (71)\end{aligned}$$

Use the formula,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n}\int \frac{d^2 z_i}{\pi} exp(-\bar{z}^T X z + A^T z + \bar{z}^T \bar{B}) = (detX)^{-1} exp(A^T X^{-1} \bar{B}) \qquad (72)$$

which is valid whenever the hermitian part of X is positive definite. Here z is the column vector of the complex variables $(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n)$. We get,

$$\begin{aligned}F = (1 - \frac{\bar{v}'v}{|z_3|^2})^{-2} exp[((1 - \bar{v}'v/|z_3|^2)^{-1}((\,rp \quad -\bar{s}'\bar{q}'\,)\begin{pmatrix}1 & -\bar{v}'/\bar{z}_3\\ -v/z_3 & 1\end{pmatrix}\\ \times\begin{pmatrix}\bar{r}'\bar{p}'\\ -sq\end{pmatrix} + (\,rq \quad \bar{s}'\bar{p}'\,)\begin{pmatrix}1 & -\bar{v}'/\bar{z}_3\\ -v/z_3 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\bar{r}'\bar{q}'\\ sp\end{pmatrix}) + \bar{u}'\bar{z}_3 + uz_3](73)\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$F = (1 - \frac{\bar{v}'v}{|z_3|^2})^{-2} exp(\frac{(\bar{r}'r + \bar{s}'s)(\bar{p}'p + \bar{q}'q)}{(1 - \bar{v}'v/|z_3|^2)} + \bar{u}'\bar{z}_3 + uz_3) \qquad (74)$$

Note that this has the features (eq: 69) we required for the inner product, so far as the variables p, q, r, s and v are concerned. This was to be expected, because the coupled basis constructed out of two doublets $(z_1 \quad z_2)$ and $(w_1 \quad w_2)$ is an orthogonal set with respect to Bargmann's measure.

We have to now propose a workable measure for integration over the z_3 variable. Note that $|z_3|^2$ appears in the denominators in (eq: 74). This suggests that it is best to set,

$$z_3 = e^{i\theta} \tag{75}$$

so that $|z_3|^2 = 1$. This means that our realization of the basis vectors is in terms of four complex variables z_1, z_2, w_1, w_2 and a phase variable $e^{i\theta}$. Setting the constraint (eq: 75) is no problem, because we have used only the variable z_3 and not $\bar{z}_3$ in our basis vectors. (See Appendix A for a more detailed discussion.) Our requirement on the measure for the θ variable is that (i)it is simple and (ii)we get a function of only the combination $\bar{u}'u$. ¿From (eq: 74) we see that it is sufficient to average over θ. Thus the measure we use is,

$$(\mathbf{g}', \mathbf{g}) = \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^2z_1}{\pi} \frac{d^2z_2}{\pi} \frac{d^2w_1}{\pi} \frac{d^2w_2}{\pi} \bar{\mathbf{g}}'\mathbf{g} \tag{76}$$

The inner product between two generating functions is,

$$(\mathbf{g}', \mathbf{g}) = (1 - \bar{v}'v)^{-2} (\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\bar{u}'u)^n}{(n!)^2}) exp[(1 - \bar{v}'v)^{-1} (\bar{p}'p + \bar{q}'q)(\bar{r}'r + \bar{s}'s)] \tag{77}$$

Notice that the coefficients of the Taylor expansion are all positive definite. This is a satisfactory inner product using which we may do computations explicitly.

For our calculations, we need the inner product between any two generalized generating functions (eq: 62). In place of (eq: 74), we get,

$$(\mathcal{G}',\mathcal{G}) = \int \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}(1-\bar{v}'v)^{-2}exp[(1-\bar{v}'v)^{-1}\,(\,r_p \quad \bar{s}_q{}'\,)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\bar{v}'e^{i\theta} \\ & \\ -ve^{-i\theta} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{r}_p{}' \\ \\ s_q \end{pmatrix} + (\,(r_q \quad \bar{s}_p{}'\,)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\bar{v}'e^{+i\theta} \\ & \\ -ve^{-i\theta} & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \bar{r}_q{}' \\ \\ s_p \end{pmatrix}$$
$$+\bar{u}'e^{-i\theta} + ue^{i\theta}] \quad (78)$$

Therefore,

$$(\mathcal{G}',\mathcal{G}) = (1-\bar{v}'v)^{-2}exp[(1-\bar{v}'v)^{-1}(\bar{r}_p{}'r_p + \bar{r}_q{}'r_q + \bar{s}_p{}'s_p + \bar{s}_q{}'s_q)]$$
$$\times[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(n!)^2}\left(\bar{u}' - v\frac{(\bar{r}_p{}'\bar{s}_q{}' + \bar{r}_q{}'\bar{s}_p{}')}{(1-\bar{v}'v)}\right)^n\left(u - \bar{v}'\frac{(r_ps_q + r_qs_p)}{(1-\bar{v}'v)}\right)^n] \qquad (79)$$

6 Calculation of the normalizations

We now compute the normalization of our representation (eq: 51) of the (unnormalized) basis vectors. As discussed in section 2, this is obtained from the requirement that the representation matrix be unitary in each IR. Our technique differs from the one discussed in section 2 in one crucial respect.

Let $|E)$ denote any unnormalized basis vector defined by the expansion (eq: 55). E stands for the set of quantum numbers used in the basis. For any generator T let,

$$T|E) = \sum_{E'} T(E,E')|E') \tag{80}$$

We want to compute $N(E)$ defined by,

$$|E) = N^{\frac{1}{2}}(E)|E> \tag{81}$$

where $|E>$ denotes any Gelfand-Zetlin normalized basis vector :

$$< E'|E >= \delta_{E'E} \tag{82}$$

The representation matrix is unitary when the basis vectors $|E>$ are used. $N(E)$ is obtained by the requirement,

$$< E'|T|E >=< E|T^{*}|E' >^{*} \tag{83}$$

for every generator T and for any normalized basis vectors $|E>$ and $|E'>$. We have from (eq: 80) and (eq: 81),

$$N^{\frac{1}{2}}(E)T|E >= \sum_{E'} T(E,E')N^{\frac{1}{2}}(E')|E' > \tag{84}$$

so that,

$$< E'|T|E >= T(E,E')\frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}(E')}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}(E)} \tag{85}$$

Therefore, (eq: 83) gives,

$$\left|\frac{N(E)}{N(E')}\right| = \frac{T(E,E')}{(T(E',E))^*} \tag{86}$$

This means we need to evaluate $T(E,E')$, defined in (eq: 80). For this we use our 'auxiliary' inner product given by (eq: 76). We denote this inner product between two vectors $|1)$ and $|2)$ by

$$(2||1) \tag{87}$$

to distinguish it from the 'true' inner product given by (eq: 82). The Gelfand-Zetlin normalized basis vectors $|E>$ do form an orthogonal set but have a different norm w.r.t. the auxiliary inner product. Therefore,

$$(E'||E) = \delta_{E'E} M(E) \tag{88}$$

where $M(E)$ is different from $N(E)$ in general. Using (eq: 88) in (eq: 80) we get,

$$(E'||(T|E)) = T(E,E')M(E') \tag{89}$$

Therefore (eq: 86) gives,

$$\left|\frac{N(E)}{N(E')}\right| = \frac{(E'||T|E)}{(E||T^*|E')^*}\frac{M(E)}{M(E')} \tag{90}$$

Thus we can fix the normalization using an 'auxiliary' inner product which allows explicit computation, even though it is not the 'true' inner product.

$M(P,Q,R,S,U,V)$ can be read off as the coefficient of the monomial:

$$(\bar{p'}p)^P(\bar{q'}q)^Q(\bar{r'}r)^R(\bar{s'}s)^S(\bar{u'}u)^U(\bar{v'}v)^V$$

in (eq: 77).

We have,

$$(\mathbf{g}',\mathbf{g}) = \left(\sum_{2I=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\bar{p'}p+\bar{q'}q)^{2I}(\bar{r'}r+\bar{s'}s)^{2I}}{(2I)!(1-\bar{v'}v)^{2I+2}}\right)\left(\sum_{V=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\bar{u'}u)^U}{(U!)^2}\right) \tag{91}$$

Using

$$(x+y)^n = \sum_{m=0}^{n} {}^nC_m x^m y^{n-m} \tag{92}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)^{m+1}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {}^{(n+m)}C_n x^n \tag{93}$$

we get,

$$M(P,Q,R,S,U,V) = \frac{(V+2I+1)!}{P!Q!R!S!(U!)^2V!(2I+1)} \tag{94}$$

We have used $2I = P+Q = R+S$.

We now apply formula (eq: 90) for each of our generators (eq: 64). The generators $\hat{\pi}^0$ and $\hat{\eta}$ are diagonal in the chosen basis and therefore do not lead to any constraints on the relative normalizations of the basis vectors. Consider $\hat{\pi}^-$ as given by (eq: 65). We get,

$$(\mathbf{g}', \hat{\pi}^- \mathbf{g}) = p\frac{\partial}{\partial q}(\mathbf{g}', \mathbf{g}) = p\bar{q}'\frac{(\bar{r}'r + \bar{s}'s)}{(1 - v'v)}(\mathbf{g}', \mathbf{g}) \tag{95}$$

on using (eq: 77). Extracting like powers of the monomials from both sides of (eq: 92), we get

$$(P, Q+1, R, S, U, V)\|\hat{\pi}^-|P+1, Q, R, S, U, V) = M_3(P, Q, R, S, U, V) \tag{96}$$

as the only non-zero auxiliary matrix element of $\hat{\pi}^-$. This is expected because $\hat{\pi}^-$ only lowers I_3 value by 1. (see (eq: 52)). $M_3(P, Q, R, S, U, V)$ is listed in Table 1. Similarly we get,

$$(P+1, Q, R, S, U, V||\hat{\pi}^+|P, Q+1, R, S, U, V) = M_3(P, Q, R, S, U, V) \tag{97}$$

Thus, in the present case,

$$\begin{aligned} &(P, Q+1, R, S, U, V||\hat{\pi}^-|P+1, Q, R, S, U, V) \\ &= (P+1, Q, R, S, U, V||\hat{\pi}^+|P, Q+1, R, S, U, V) \end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

since $M_3(P, Q, R, S, U, V)$ is real. Therefore the auxiliary normalization, also gives the Gelfand-Zetlin normalizations, in this case:

$$\left|\frac{N(P+1, Q, R, S, U, V)}{N(P, Q+1, R, S, U, V)}\right| = \frac{M(P+1, Q, R, S, U, V)}{M(P, Q+1, R, S, U, V)} \tag{99}$$

The reason for this matching is that for the $SU(2)$ subgroup we are using just the Bargmann measure. Using Table 1 we get,

$$\left|\frac{N(P+1,Q,R,S,U,V)}{N(P,Q+1,R,S,U,V)}\right| = \frac{Q+1}{P+1} \tag{100}$$

exactly as in the $SU(2)$ case (section 2). Thus the relative normalizations of basis vectors within an isospin multiplet are determined and are same as in the $SU(2)$ case :

$$N(P,Q,R,S,U,V) \sim \frac{1}{P!Q!} \tag{101}$$

The dependence on the total isospin $P+Q=R+S$ as also on quantum numbers R, S, U and V are not determined at this stage.

We now compute the relative normalizations implied by $\hat{K}^{\pm}$. To calculate, $(\mathbf{g}', \hat{K}^{-}\mathbf{g})$ we use the generalized partition function:

$$(\mathbf{g}', \hat{K}^{-}\mathbf{g}) = (r_p\frac{\partial}{\partial u} - v\frac{\partial}{\partial s_q})(\mathcal{G}', \mathcal{G})| \tag{102}$$

where the vertical line at the end of this equation means that after applying differential operator on $(\mathcal{G}', \mathcal{G})$, we need to set the values (eq: 61) for the sources. For instance,

$$(r_p s_q + r_q s_p)| = 0; \quad (\bar{r}'_p \bar{s}'_q + \bar{r}'_q \bar{s}'_p)| = 0 \tag{103}$$

We get,

$$(\mathbf{g}', \hat{K}^{-}\mathbf{g}) = \frac{1}{(1-\bar{v}'v)^2} exp[(1-\bar{v}'v)^{-1}(\bar{p}'p + \bar{q}'q)(\bar{r}'r + \bar{s}'s)]$$

$$\times \left[rp \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{u'}^{n+1}u^n}{(n+1)!n!} + \frac{v\bar{s}'\bar{q}'}{(1-\bar{v}'v)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{u'}^{n}u^n}{(n!)^2} + \frac{v\bar{v}'rp}{(1-\bar{v}'v)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{u}'^{n+1}u^n}{(n+1)!n!} \right] \quad (104)$$

Matching coefficients of like powers we get (Table 1),

$$(P, Q, R, S, U+1, V||\hat{K}^{-}|P+1, Q, R+1, S, U, V)$$

$$= M_5(P, Q, R, S, U, V) + M_6(P, Q, R, S, U, V-1) \quad (105)$$

$$(P, Q+1, R, S+1, U, V||\hat{K}^{-}|P, Q, R, S, U, V+1)$$

$$= M_1(P, Q, R, S, U, V) \quad (106)$$

These non-zero matrix elements are as expected for $I = \frac{1}{2}, I_3 = -\frac{1}{2}, Y = +1$ quantum numbers for $\hat{K}^{-}$. Similarly,

$$(P+1, Q, R+1, S, U, V)||\hat{K}^{+}|P, Q, R, S, U+1, V)$$

$$= M_1(P, Q, R, S, U, V) \quad (107)$$

$$(P, Q, R, S, U, V+1||\hat{K}^{+}|P, Q+1, R, S+1, U, V) =$$

$$M_6(P, Q, R, S, U-1, V) + 2M_1(P, Q, R, S, U, V) + M_4(P, Q, R, S, U, V) \quad (108)$$

Using (eq: 91) we get the following constraints on relative normalizations from (eq: 103)-(eq: 106) :

$$\left|\frac{N(P+1,Q,R+1,S,U,V)}{N(P,Q,R,S,U+1,V)}\right| = (V+2I+2)\frac{(U+1)}{(P+1)(R+1)}\frac{(2I+1)}{(2I+2)} \tag{109}$$

$$\left|\frac{N(P,Q+1,R,S+1,U,V)}{N(P,Q,R,S,U,V+1)}\right| = (U+2I+2)\frac{(V+1)}{(Q+1)(S+1)}\frac{(2I+1)}{(2I+2)} \tag{110}$$

A solution for (eq: 107) and (eq: 108) is,

$$N(P,Q,R,S,U,V) = \frac{(U+2I+1)!(V+2I+1)!}{P!Q!R!S!U!V!(2I+1)} \tag{111}$$

We now consider the non-uniqueness in this solution. The quantum numbers, Q,S,V, $P+U$, and $R+U$ do not change between the numerator and the denominator of (eq: 109). Therefore dependence of $N(P,Q,R,S,U,V)$ on these quantum numbers are not fixed by (eq: 109). However, (eq: 110) serves to fix the dependence on Q,S,V. Therefore the only ambiguity is in dependence of the combinations $P+U$ and $R+U$. We may hope that this ambiguity is removed by the constraints coming from the remaining generators $\hat{K}^0$ and $\hat{\hat{K}}^0$. The non-zero matrix elements of these generators in the unnormalized basis are:

$$\begin{aligned} &(P,Q,R,S,U+1,V||\hat{K}^0|P,Q+1,R+1,S,U,V) \\ &= M_5(P,Q,R,S,U,V) + M_6(P,Q,R,S,U,V-1) \end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

$$(P+1,Q,R,S+1,U,V||\hat{K}^o|P,Q,R,S,U,V+1)$$
$$= -M_1(P,Q,R,S,U,V) \tag{113}$$

$$(P,Q+1,R+1,S,U,V||\hat{\bar{\bar{K}}}^o|P,Q,R,S,U+1,V)$$
$$= M_1(P,Q,R,S,U,V) \tag{114}$$

$$(P,Q,R,S,U,V+1)||\hat{\bar{\bar{K}}}^0|P+1,Q,R,S+1,U,V)$$
$$= -M_6(P,Q,R,S,U-1,V) - 2M_1(P,Q,R,S,U,V) - M_4(P,Q,R,S,U,V) \tag{115}$$

This gives the constraints,

$$\left|\frac{N(P,Q+1,R+1,S,U,V)}{N(P,Q,R,S,U+1,V)}\right| = (V+2I+2)\frac{(U+1)}{(Q+1)(R+1)}\frac{(2I+1)}{(2I+2)} \tag{116}$$

$$\left|\frac{N(P+1,Q,R,S+1,U,V)}{N(P,Q,R,S,U,V+1)}\right| = (U+2I+2)\frac{(V+1)}{(P+1)(S+1)}\frac{(2I+1)}{(2I+2)} \tag{117}$$

As expected, these constraints fix the dependence on $P+U$ and $R+U$. Thus the normalization factor of our unnormalized basis states is uniquely fixed by (eq: 111). It is worth noting that the matrix elements (eq: 106), (eq: 108) and (eq: 114) are all equal and differ only in sign from (eq: 113). Similarly, (eq: 105) and (eq: 112) are equal, whereas, (eq: 108) only differs in sign from (eq: 115). As a consequence, there is a certain symmetry in the constraints

Table 1: Normalization Constants.

$M(P,Q,R,S,U,V)$	$\frac{exp[(1-\bar{v}'v)^{-1}(\bar{p}'p+\bar{q}'q)(\bar{r}'r+\bar{s}'s)]}{(1-\bar{v}'v)^2}\sum_n \frac{(\bar{u}'u)^n}{(n!)^2}$	$\frac{(2I+1+V)!}{P!Q!R!S!U!V!}\frac{1}{U!(2I+1)}$
$M_1(P,Q,R,S,U,V)$	$\frac{exp[(1-\bar{v}'v)^{-1}(\bar{p}'p+\bar{q}'q)(\bar{r}'r+\bar{s}'s)]}{(1-\bar{v}'v)^3}\sum_n \frac{(\bar{u}'u)^n}{(n!)^2}$	$\frac{(2I+2+V)!}{P!Q!R!S!U!V!}\frac{1}{U!(2I+1)(2I+2)}$
$M_2(P,Q,R,S,U,V)$	$\frac{exp[(1-\bar{v}'v)^{-1}(\bar{p}'p+\bar{q}'q)(\bar{r}'r+\bar{s}'s)]}{(1-\bar{v}'v)^4}\sum_n \frac{(\bar{u}'u)^n}{(n!)^2}$	$\frac{(2I+3+V)!}{P!Q!R!S!U!V!}\frac{1}{U!(2I+1)(2I+2)(2I+3)}$
$M_3(P,Q,R,S,U,V)$	$\frac{exp[(1-\bar{v}'v)^{-1}(\bar{p}'p+\bar{q}'q)(\bar{r}'r+\bar{s}'s)]}{(1-\bar{v}'v)^3}$ $\times(\bar{r}'r+\bar{s}'s)\sum_n \frac{(\bar{u}'u)^n}{(n!)^2}$	Not needed
$M_4(P,Q,R,S,U,V)$	$\frac{exp[(1-\bar{v}'v)^{-1}(\bar{p}'p+\bar{q}'q)(\bar{r}'r+\bar{s}'s)]}{(1-\bar{v}'v)^4}$ $\times(\bar{p}'p+\bar{q}'q)(\bar{r}'r+\bar{s}'s)\sum_n \frac{(\bar{u}'u)^n}{(n!)^2}$	$\frac{(2I+2+V)!}{P!Q!R!S!U!V!}\frac{2I}{U!(2I+1)(2I+2)}$
$M_5(P,Q,R,S,U,V)$	$\frac{exp[(1-\bar{v}'v)^{-1}(\bar{p}'p+\bar{q}'q)(\bar{r}'r+\bar{s}'s)]}{(1-\bar{v}'v)^2}\sum_n \frac{(\bar{u}'u)^n}{(n+1)!n!}$	$\frac{(2I+1+V)!}{P!Q!R!S!U!V!}\frac{1}{(U+1)!(2I+1)}$
$M_6(P,Q,R,S,U,V)$	$\frac{exp[(1-\bar{v}'v)^{-1}(\bar{p}'p+\bar{q}'q)(\bar{r}'r+\bar{s}'s)]}{(1-\bar{v}'v)^3}\sum_n \frac{(\bar{u}'u)^n}{(n+1)!n!}$	$\frac{(2I+2+V)!}{P!Q!R!S!U!V!}\frac{1}{(U+1)!(2I+1)(2I+2)}$

(eq: 109), (eq: 110), (eq: 116) and (eq: 117) of the normalizations. These relations between matrix elements with respect to auxiliary inner product between unnormalized basis states is a consequence of our choices of the inner product and basis states. They do not seem to have any group theoretic reason. Anyway we are only interested in matrix elements between normalized states.

7 The 3-G symbols

In this section, we mostly review well - known material [1, 2, 15, 48, 23] in order to fix our notations and for logical continuity. In addition we emphasize the relation between the multiplicity in the Clebsch - Gordan series and the distinct invariants that can be constructed out of three IRs. Consider a compact group G. We denote the basis of states of unitary irreducible representations by

$$\left|{}^{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right\rangle \tag{118}$$

where λ labels the IRs and α labels the basis for the IRs. For $SU(3)$, for instance, we may use the ordered pair (M, N) for λ and (I, I_3, Y) for α. Consider the direct product of two IRs, λ and λ'. This can be completely reduced to the IRs of the group. In general, same IR λ'' may appear more than once in the decomposition. Therefore we need extra labels for the IRs of the decomposition. We denote these additional labels collectively by k. (We discuss this in detail [25, 26] for $SU(3)$ in sec.8). Thus we may write,

$$\left|{}^{\lambda"}_{\alpha"}\right\rangle^{k} = \sum_{\alpha,\alpha'} \left|{}^{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right\rangle \left|{}^{\lambda'}_{\alpha'}\right\rangle \left\langle{}^{\lambda\lambda'}_{\alpha\alpha'}\right|\left.{}^{\lambda"}_{\alpha"}\right\rangle^{k} \tag{119}$$

where $|{}^{\lambda"}_{\alpha"}\rangle^k$ are the basis vectors of the repeating IR $\lambda"$ and where the repetitions are labeled by k. The coefficients in this expansion,

$$\langle{}^{\lambda\lambda'}_{\alpha\alpha'}|{}^{\lambda"}_{\alpha"}\rangle^k \tag{120}$$

are the Wigner-Clebsch-Gordan coefficients of G. (eq: 119) provides an unitary transformation from the basis of the IRs of the decomposition to the direct product basis. Inverse transformation may be written,

$$|{}^{\lambda}_{\alpha}\rangle|{}^{\lambda'}_{\alpha'}\rangle = \sum_{\alpha",k} |{}^{\lambda"}_{\alpha"}\rangle^k \, {}^k\langle{}^{\lambda"}_{\alpha"}|{}^{\lambda\lambda'}_{\alpha\alpha'}\rangle \tag{121}$$

We get,

$$\sum_{\lambda",k,\alpha"} \langle{}^{\lambda\lambda'}_{\alpha\alpha'}|{}^{\lambda"}_{\alpha"}\rangle^k \, {}^k\langle{}^{\lambda"}_{\alpha"}|{}^{\lambda\lambda'}_{\beta\beta'}\rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{\alpha'\beta'} \tag{122}$$

$$\sum_{\alpha,\alpha'} {}^k\langle{}^{\lambda"}_{\alpha"}|{}^{\lambda\lambda'}_{\alpha\alpha'}\rangle\langle{}^{\lambda\lambda'}_{\alpha\alpha'}|{}^{\lambda'''}_{\alpha'''}\rangle^{k'} = \delta_{kk'}\delta_{\lambda"\lambda'''}\delta_{\alpha"\alpha'''} \tag{123}$$

Let $D(g)^\lambda$ denote the unitary representation matrix of an element $g \in G$ in the IR λ. Thus under the group action,

$$g : |{}^{\lambda}_{\alpha}\rangle \rightarrow \sum_{\beta} D^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta}(g)|{}^{\lambda}_{\beta}\rangle \tag{124}$$

The matrices $\overline{D}^{\lambda}(g)$ whose elements are complex conjugates of $D^{\lambda}(g)$,

$$(\overline{D}(g))_{\alpha\beta} = (D^{\lambda}(g))^{*}_{\alpha\beta} \tag{125}$$

also provide an irreducible representation of the group called the representation $\bar{\lambda}$ conjugate to λ. For $SU(3)$, the IR (N, M) is the conjugate of (M, N). Define basis states

$$|{}^{\lambda}_{\alpha}\rangle_c \tag{126}$$

transforming like

$$g : |{}^{\lambda}_{\alpha}\rangle_c \rightarrow \sum_{\beta} (D(g)^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta})^* |{}^{\lambda}_{\beta}\rangle_c \tag{127}$$

This means,

$$\begin{aligned} g : \sum_{\alpha} |{}^{\lambda}_{\alpha}\rangle |{}^{\lambda}_{\alpha}\rangle_c &\rightarrow \sum_{\alpha} (\sum_{\beta} (D(g))^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta} |{}^{\lambda}_{\beta}\rangle)(\sum_{\gamma} (D(g)^{\lambda}_{\alpha\gamma})^* |{}^{\lambda}_{\gamma}\rangle_c) \\ &= \sum_{\beta,\gamma} (D^{\lambda\dagger}(g) D(g)^{\lambda})_{\gamma\beta} |{}^{\lambda}_{\beta}\rangle |{}^{\lambda}_{\gamma}\rangle_c = \sum_{\alpha} |{}^{\lambda}_{\alpha}\rangle |{}^{\lambda}\alpha\rangle_c \end{aligned} \tag{128}$$

since $D(g)$ is unitary. Therefore

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{\lambda}}} \sum_{\alpha} |{}^{\lambda}_{\alpha}\rangle |{}^{\lambda}_{\alpha}\rangle_c \tag{129}$$

(where d_{λ} is the dimension of the IR λ) (i) is invariant under the group; (ii) is the unique invariant vector in the direct product space of λ and $\bar{\lambda}$ (since there is one, and only one singlet in the decomposition of the direct product of λ and $\bar{\lambda}$.); (iii) it has unit norm. Now $|{}^{\lambda''}_{\alpha''}\rangle^k$ in (eq: 119) transforms like the IR λ" for every k. Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_\lambda}}\sum_{\alpha}|{}^{\lambda"}_{\alpha"}\rangle^k|{}^{\lambda"}_{\alpha"}\rangle_c \tag{130}$$

is an invariant with unit norm for every k. Use this in (eq: 119). We get, in the direct product of three IRs, λ, λ' and λ",

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{d^{"}_{\lambda}}}\sum_{\alpha,\alpha',\alpha"}\langle{}^{\lambda\lambda'}_{\alpha\alpha'}|{}^{\lambda"}_{\alpha"}\rangle^k|{}^{\lambda}_{\alpha}\rangle|{}^{\lambda'}_{\alpha'}\rangle|{}^{\lambda"}_{\alpha"}\rangle_c \tag{131}$$

(i) is, for each k, an invariant of unit norm, (ii) are linearly independent vectors in the direct product space for various k's. (This is because $|{}^{\lambda"}_{\alpha"}\rangle^k$ in (eq: 119) are linearly independent for various k.) (iii) are the only invariant vectors in the direct product space of λ, λ' and λ". (This is because (eq: 129) are the only invariant vectors formed from $|{}^{\lambda"}_{\alpha"}\rangle^k$. We will rewrite (eq: 131) in the following way. Define the $3-G$ *symbols*,

$$[{}^{\lambda\lambda'\lambda"}_{\alpha\alpha'\alpha"}]_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{\lambda"}}}\langle{}^{\lambda\lambda'}_{\alpha\alpha'}|{}^{\lambda"}_{\alpha"}\rangle^k_c \tag{132}$$

Noting that

$$(|{}^{\lambda}_{\alpha}\rangle_c)_c = |{}^{\lambda}_{\alpha}\rangle \tag{133}$$

We see that,

$$\sum_{\alpha,\alpha',\alpha"}[{}^{\lambda\lambda'\lambda"}_{\alpha\alpha'\alpha"}]_k|{}^{\lambda}_{\alpha}\rangle|{}^{\lambda'}_{\alpha'}\rangle|{}^{\lambda"}_{\alpha"}\rangle \tag{134}$$

give all linearly independent invariant vectors in the direct product space of three IRs λ, λ' and λ". This gives the generalization of the definition (eq: 28) of $3j$ symbols. Thus the number of linearly independent invariant vectors and hence the $3-G$ symbols, is given by the outer multiplicity of λ" in the direct product of λ and λ'. For $SU(2)$ there is just one.

We now consider the normalization of the $3-G$ symbols. The basis vectors of all three IR's in (eq: 119) are orthonormal. Therefore we get,

$$\sum_{\alpha\alpha'} \left\langle {\lambda\lambda' \atop \alpha\alpha'} \middle| {\lambda" \atop \alpha"} \right\rangle^{k} \left\langle {\lambda\lambda' \atop \alpha\alpha'} \middle| {\lambda''' \atop \alpha'''} \right\rangle^{k'} = \delta_{kk'} \delta_{\lambda''\lambda'''} \delta_{\alpha''\alpha'''} \tag{135}$$

For $3-G$ symbols, this gives (see 132),

$$\sum_{\alpha\alpha'} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda\lambda'\lambda'' \\ \alpha\alpha'\alpha'' \end{bmatrix}_k \begin{bmatrix} \lambda\lambda'\lambda''' \\ \alpha\alpha'\alpha''' \end{bmatrix}^*_{k'} = \frac{1}{d_{\lambda"}} \delta_{kk'} \delta_{\lambda''\lambda'''} \delta_{\alpha''\alpha'''} \tag{136}$$

8 Invariants in the space $\mathcal{S}(M^1N^1) \otimes \mathcal{S}(M^2N^2) \otimes \mathcal{S}(M^3N^3)$

The next step in obtaining a formula for the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, is to construct the invariants (eq: 134) using our realization of the basis vectors. This corresponds to (eq: 30) in case of $SU(2)$. However, the computation for $SU(3)$ is more complicated for the following reasons.

1. The variables $(z_1, z_2, \theta, w_1, w_2)$ that we use do not transform linearly under $SU(3)$ in contrast to the variables (z_1, z_2) of the $SU(2)$ case (see Appendix A).
2. We can form more than one invariant in contrast to the $SU(2)$ case.

To analyze the situation we first ignore the constraint $\vec{w}\cdot\vec{z} = 0$. Consider three vector spaces, $\mathcal{P}(M^1, N^1), \mathcal{P}(M^2N^2)$ and $\mathcal{P}(M^3N^3)$ built of polynomials in variables $(\vec{z}^1, \vec{w}^1), (\vec{z}^2, \vec{w}^2)$ and $(\vec{z}^3, \vec{w}^3)$ respectively. $\mathcal{P}(M^a, N^a)$, $a = 1, 2$ or 3 is the space of polynomials homogeneous of degree M in (z_1, z_2, z_3) and of degree N in (w_1, w_2, w_3), respectively. Invariant theory can be applied [15, 21, 23] to this situation. The result is that any invariant can be constructed out of the basic invariants,

$$\begin{array}{lll} \vec{z}^1\cdot\vec{w}^1, & \vec{z}^1\cdot\vec{w}^2, & \vec{z}^1\cdot\vec{w}^3 \\ \vec{z}^2\cdot\vec{w}^1, & \vec{z}^2\cdot\vec{w}^2, & \vec{z}^2\cdot\vec{w}^3 \\ \vec{z}^3\cdot\vec{w}^1, & \vec{z}^3\cdot\vec{w}^2, & \vec{z}^3\cdot\vec{w}^3 \end{array} \tag{137}$$

$$\vec{z}^1\cdot\vec{z}^2\times\vec{z}^3, \quad \vec{w}^1\cdot\vec{w}^2\times\vec{w}^3 \tag{138}$$

Further, these invariants are not all independent, because

$$(\vec{z}^1\cdot\vec{z}^2\times\vec{z}^3)(\vec{w}^1\cdot\vec{w}^2\times\vec{w}^3)$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} z_1^1 & z_2^1 & z_3^1 \\ z_1^2 & z_2^2 & z_3^2 \\ z_1^3 & z_2^3 & z_3^3 \end{vmatrix} \times \begin{vmatrix} w_1^1 & w_1^2 & w_1^3 \\ w_2^1 & w_2^2 & w_2^3 \\ w_3^1 & w_3^2 & w_3^3 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} \vec{z}^1 \cdot \vec{w}^1 & \vec{z}^1 \cdot \vec{w}^2 & \vec{z}^1 \cdot \vec{w}^3 \\ \vec{z}^2 \cdot \vec{w}^1 & \vec{z}^2 \cdot \vec{w}^2 & \vec{z}^2 \cdot \vec{w}^3 \\ \vec{z}^3 \cdot \vec{w}^1 & \vec{z}^3 \cdot \vec{w}^2 & \vec{z}^3 \cdot \vec{w}^3 \end{vmatrix} \tag{139}$$

Thus we may remove either $\vec{z}^1 \cdot \vec{z}^2 \times \vec{z}^3$ or $\vec{w}^1 \cdot \vec{w}^2 \times \vec{w}^3$ from the list of basic invariants (eq: 137) and (eq: 138). Any invariant is a function of the remaining ten invariants. To decide which of the two invariants (eq: 139) is to be kept, note that we are interested in polynomials in the three sets of six variables. Therefore, we permit only positive integral powers of *either* $\vec{z}^1 \cdot \vec{z}^2 \times \vec{z}^3$ *or* $\vec{w}^1 \cdot \vec{w}^2 \times \vec{w}^3$ in addition to those of (eq: 137). This gives all independent invariant polynomials. We will not be repeating polynomials which are identical on using (eq: 139). This is because if we were to replace $\vec{z}^1 \cdot \vec{z}^2 \times \vec{z}^2$ in a polynomial by $\vec{w}^1 \cdot \vec{w}^2 \times \vec{w}^3$ using (eq: 139), the latter would be appearing in the denominator. Therefore it would not coincide with any linear combination of the other polynomials we have considered.

Thus a general invariant polynomial is a linear combination of the following invariants.

$$\mathcal{P}(N(1,1), N(1,2), N(1,3), N(2,1), N(2,2), N(2,3), N(3,1), N(3,2),$$
$$N(3,3), L) = (\vec{z}^1 \cdot \vec{w}^1)^{N(1,1)} (\vec{z}^1 \cdot \vec{w}^2)^{N(1,2)} (\vec{z}^1 \cdot \vec{w}^3)^{N(1,3)} (\vec{z}^2 \cdot \vec{w}^1)^{N(2,1)}$$
$$(\vec{z}^2 \cdot \vec{w}^2)^{N(2,2)} (\vec{z}^2 \cdot \vec{w}^3)^{N(2,3)} (\vec{z}^3 \cdot \vec{w}^1)^{N(3,1)} (\vec{z}^3 \cdot \vec{w}^2)^{N(3,2)} (\vec{z}^3 \cdot \vec{w}^3)^{N(3,3)}$$
$$\times \Big((\vec{z}^1 \cdot \vec{z}^2 \times \vec{z}^3)^L \quad \text{or} \quad (\vec{w}^1 \cdot \vec{w}^2 \times \vec{w}^3)^{-L} \Big) \qquad (140)$$

We have adopted the following notation. If L is a positive(likewise negative) integer, the invariant has the term $(z^1 \cdot z^2 \times z^3)^L$ (likewise $(w^1 \cdot w^2 \times w^3)^{-L}$)). This way, both possibilities are labeled by a single integer L taking both positive and negative values. The other labels, $N(a,b), a, b = 1, 2$ or 3 freely range over all non-negative integers.

The invariants in the space $\mathcal{P}(M^1, N^1) \otimes \mathcal{P}(M^2, N^2) \otimes \mathcal{P}(M^3, N^3)$ have to be built from a linear combination of those invariants (eq: 140) for which

$$\sum_{b=1}^{3} N(a,b) + L\epsilon(L) = M^a,$$
$$\sum_{b=1}^{3} N(b,a) + |L|\epsilon(-L) = N^a, \text{ a} = 1,2,3 \qquad (141)$$

$$\text{Here ,} \qquad \epsilon(L) = 1, \quad L \geq 0, \quad \epsilon = -1, \quad L < 0 \qquad (142)$$

For three given IRs, this gives six equations for ten unknowns $N(a,b)$ and L. Therefore, there are many independent invariants, in general.

In order to obtain the $3-SU(3)$ symbols (Sec.7) we have to expand these invariants in terms of a realization of the $SU(3)$ basis vectors as polynomials in $\vec{z}^a$ and $\vec{w}^a$. The analogous procedure for $SU(2)$, discussed in section 2, is very simple because we have a simple monomial basis. All that is needed there is to collect coefficients in the Taylor's expansion. However in the $SU(3)$ case the basis in more complicated. In the realization using six complex variables used in earlier works [15, 21, 22],[23], the basis span only a subspace of the space of all polynomials. As a result, one is not even assured that a general invariant satisfying (eq: 139) can be expanded in the basis vectors. That it be so expandable imposes restriction on the coefficients of the linear combinations of the basic invariants(eq: 140). In fact each of the three IRs imposes one restriction on the linear combination. This effectively reduces the number of independent linear combinations that may be chosen. Any freedom that is left corresponds to the repeating IR's in the decomposition. We may hope that, requiring the invariant polynomial be an eigenstate of the 'chiral Casimir' [15, 23, 17] uniquely determines invariant polynomial corresponding to each of the repeating IR's in the decomposition.

In this way the $3 - SU(3)$ symbols can be extracted - in principle. However, extracting an explicit formula for the symbols this way has not been possible. The closest has been a formula with some undetermined coefficients [23].

We are able to overcome all these hurdles here for the following reasons. (i) Our basis is simpler (ii) The relevant invariants are completely determined (iii) We use our auxiliary measure to calculate the expansion coefficients (iv) We use generating function for the basis states and also for the invariants. This way calculations for all IRs are being done in one shot. Moreover, all calculations effectively reduce to Gaussian integrations.

We now describe as to why the relevant invariants are completely determined in our basis.

We are using the 5-(complex)-dimensional subspace $\vec{w} \cdot \vec{z} = 0$ in our basis. This constraint is invariant under $SU(3)$. Therefore, invariants in the larger six-dimensional space are also invariants when restricted to our subspace. However all invariants with non-zero $N(1,1), N(2,2)$ and $N(3,3)$ vanish identically because in our basis

$$\vec{w}^a \cdot \vec{z}^a = 0, \quad a = 1, 2, 3 \tag{143}$$

Thus the basic invariants are only,

$$I(N(1,2), N(2,3), N(3,1), N(2,1), N(3,2), N(1,3), L) = (\vec{z}^1 \cdot \vec{w}^2)^{N(1,2)}$$
$$\times (\vec{z}^2 \cdot \vec{w}^3)^{N(2,3)} (\vec{z}^3 \cdot \vec{w}^1)^{N(3,1)} (\vec{z}^2 \cdot \vec{w}^1)^{N(2,1)} (\vec{z}^3 \cdot \vec{w}^2)^{N(3,2)} (\vec{z}^1 \cdot \vec{w}^3)^{N(1,3)}$$
$$\times \left[(\vec{z}^1 \cdot \vec{z}^2 \times \vec{z}^3)^L \quad \text{or} \quad (\vec{w}^1 \cdot \vec{w}^2 \times \vec{w}^3)^{-|L|} \right] \tag{144}$$

We now simply have,

$$\begin{aligned}
N(1,2) + N(1,3) + L\epsilon(L) &= M^1 \\
N(2,3) + N(2,1) + L\epsilon(L) &= M^2 \\
N(3,1) + N(3,2) + L\epsilon(L) &= M^3 \\
N(2,1) + N(3,1) + |L|\epsilon(-L) &= N^1 \\
N(3,2) + N(1,2) + |L|\epsilon(-L) &= N^2 \\
N(1,3) + N(2,3) + |L|\epsilon(-L) &= N^3
\end{aligned} \tag{145}$$

Thus we are lead to the same equations for multiplicity as obtained in [25] from other considerations.

Note that (eq: 142) implies

$$3L = \sum_{a=1}^{3} (M^a - N^a) \tag{146}$$

Thus L is completely determined by the three IR's chosen and is not an independent parameter. It counts the number of invariants formed using

$\det(\mathcal{U}) = 1$ condition. It may be called the 'chirality number' of the invariant.

As a result, when we consider three given IRs λ^1, λ^2 and λ^3, we have just six non-negative integers $N(a,b), a \neq b$, constrained by five linearly independent equations (eq: 145). Therefore, there can be more than one solution for the set $N(a,b)$. This corresponds the multiplicity problem in the decomposition of the Kronecker product.

It is possible, in principle, that there are more invariants in our subspace which do not have an invariant extension into the larger space of $\vec{z}^a$ and $\vec{w}^a$ variables. We now argue that there are no further invariants constructed out of our basis vectors from three IRs. In Sec.3, we obtained our basis vectors from basis vectors in the space of $\vec{z}$ and $\vec{w}$ variables by retaining those which are distinct in our subspace. Now a basis for *all invariants* in the larger space are cataloged by (eq: 140). Therefore, by simply imposing the constraints (eq: 142) and retaining non-trivial and distinct invariants, we get all invariants for our case.

In earlier approaches one was not assured that an arbitrary linear combination of the basic invariants (eq: 140) could be expanded in the basis vectors of the three IRs. We do not have such problem now. This is because our basis vectors form all polynomials in four variables z_1, z_2, w_1 and w_2 and all (positive or negative integral) powers of z_3. Thus, even the basic invariants (eq: 140) with constraints (eq: 142)can be expanded in our basis. This means the following. We may simply regard each of basic invariants (eq: 140) as the (unnormalized) linearly independent invariant vectors in the direct product space of three IRs. For a given basic invariant (eq: 140), the three IRs of which it is composed is given by (eq: 144).

Thus the $3-SU(3)$ symbols are naturally labeled by the set of integers,

1. $N(1,2)$, $N(2,3)$, $N(3,1)$, $N(2,1)$, $N(3,2)$, $N(1,3)$, L

2. P^a, Q^a, R^a, S^a, U^a, V^a, a=1,2,3.

   In place of (2) we may use the quark-model labels,

3. M^a, N^a, I^a, I_3^a, Y^a, a=1,2,3.

These labels are related by the constraints. These constraints may be displayed as follows:

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}
 & N(1,2) & & N(1,3) & & N(2,3) & & N(2,1) & & N(3,1) & & N(3,2) & \\
N^2 & & M^1 & & N^3 & & M^2 & & N^1 & & M^3 & & N^2 \\
 & & U^1,R^1 & & V^3,S^3 & & U^2,R^2 & & V^1,S^1 & & U^3,R^3 & & V^2,S^2 \\
 & & P^1 & & P^3 & & P^2 & & Q^1 & & Q^3 & & Q^2
\end{array}\right]_L \tag{147}$$

This is the analogue of $3-j$ symbol of $SU(2)$ prescribed as an array of nine integers , (eq: 34). As in that case, this array is highly redundant. This notation is nevertheless useful, because the allowed values can be easily read off. For convenience, we will adopt the following notation for the $3-SU(3)$ symbols:

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc} N(1,2) & N(2,3) & N(3,1) & L & N(1,3) & N(3,2) & N(2,1) \\ & M^1N^1 & & M^2N^2 & & M^3N^3 & \\ & I'I'_3Y' & & I^2I^2_3Y^2 & & I^3I^3_3Y^3 & \end{array}\right] \tag{148}$$

9 A generating function for the invariants

Though our basis has simplified many aspects, it is still not simple enough to allow the expansion coefficients to be read off from the invariants (eq: 145). In order to compute these coefficients we will use our auxiliary inner product. We will also use generating functions (eq: 53) for the basis states and also a generating function for the invariants. This simplifies the computations drastically. Moreover we are doing computations for all IRs in one shot.

Define the generating function for the invariants.

$$\mathcal{I}_{\pm}(j_{12}, j_{23}, j_{31}, j_{21}, j_{32}, j_{13}, j_{\pm}) = exp(j_{12}\vec{z}^1 \cdot \vec{w}^2 + j_{23}\vec{z}^2 \cdot \vec{w}^3 + j_{31}\vec{z}^3 \cdot \vec{w}^1$$

$$+j_{21}\vec{z}^2\cdot\vec{w}^1+j_{32}\vec{z}^3\cdot\vec{w}^2+j_{13}\vec{z}^1\cdot\vec{w}^3+(j_+\vec{z}^1\cdot\vec{z}^2\times\vec{z}^3 \text{ or } j_-\vec{w}^1\cdot\vec{w}^2\times\vec{w}^3)) \tag{149}$$

By a Taylor expansion in the sources j we generate all basic invariants (eq: 145). Note that we use *either* j_+ *or* j_- because we do not need both $z^1\cdot z^2\times z^3$ and $w^1\cdot w^2\times w^3$ together.

The exponent in (eq: 149) is linear in each of the variables separately. This is an important feature which allows explicit computations.

We may write,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_\pm = \sum_{N(1,2).......,|L|} & j_{12}^{N(1,2)}j_{23}^{N(2,3)}j_{31}^{N(3,1)}j_{21}^{N(2,1)}j_{32}^{N(3,2)}j_{13}^{N(1,3)}(j_\pm)^{|L|} \\ & \times|N(1,2),N(2,3),N(3,1),N(2,1),N(3,2),N(1,3),\pm|L|)\end{aligned} \tag{150}$$

The ket's on the rhs of (eq: 150) are the unnormalized invariant vectors(eq: 134) in the direct product of three IRs. For the corresponding normalized invariant vectors, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&|N(1,2),N(2,3),N(3,1),N(2,1),N(3,2),N(1,3),\pm|L|>\equiv n^{-1/2}(N(1,2),\\ &N(2,3),N(3,1),N(2,1),N(3,2),N(1,3),\pm|L|)\times|N(1,2)........,\pm|L|)\\ &=\sum_{\{P^a,Q^a,R^a,S^a,U^a,V^a\}}\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc} N(1,2) & N(2,3) & N(3,1) & L & N(1,3) & N(3,2) & N(2,1) \\ & M^1N^1 & & M^2N^2 & & M^3N^3 & \\ & I^1I^1_3Y^1 & & I^2I^2_3Y^2 & & I^3I^3_3Y^3 & \end{array}\right]\\ &\times|P^1,Q^1,R^1,S^1,U^1,V^1>|P^2,Q^2,R^2,S^2,U^2,V^2>|P^3,Q^3,R^3,S^3,U^3,V^3>\end{aligned} \tag{151}$$

where n is the normalization factor. We have used our labeling (eq: 55) for the basis vectors. The variables on the r.h.s. of (eq: 151) are related by (eq: 49), (eq: 50) and (eq: 52) for each $a = 1, 2, 3$.

Consider the auxiliary inner product of $\mathcal{I}_\pm$ with $\mathbf{g}^1\mathbf{g}^2\mathbf{g}^3$ which is the product of the partition functions for basis vectors of the three IRs. Using (eq: 55) and noting from (eq: 81) and (eq: 88)

$$
\begin{aligned}
(P, Q, R, S, U, V||P', Q', R', S', U', V' >= {}& N^{-\frac{1}{2}}(P, Q, R, S, U, V) \\
& \times M(P, Q, R, S, U, V)\delta_{PP'}\delta_{QQ'}\delta_{RR'}\delta_{SS'}\delta_{UU'}\delta_{VV'} \qquad (152)
\end{aligned}
$$

We get,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_\pm \equiv (\mathbf{g}^1\mathbf{g}^2\mathbf{g}^3, \mathcal{I}_\pm) \\
& = \sum \prod_{a=1}^{3} \Big(\bar{p}^{a^{P^a}} \bar{q}^{a^{Q^a}} \bar{r}^{a^{R^a}} \bar{s}^{a^{S^a}} \bar{u}^{a^{U^a}} \bar{v}^{a^{V^a}} N^{-\frac{1}{2}}(P^a, Q^a, R^a, S^a, U^a, V^a) M(P^a, Q^a, R^a, S^a, U^a, V^a) \Big) \\
& \times j_{12}^{N(1,2)} j_{23}^{N(2,3)} j_{31}^{N(3,1)} j_{21}^{N(2,1)} j_{32}^{N(3,2)} j_{13}^{N(1,3)} (j_\pm)^{|L|} \\
& \times n^{+\frac{1}{2}}(N(1,2), N(2,3), N(3,1), N(2,1), N(3,2), N(1,3), \pm L) \\
& \times \begin{bmatrix} N(1,2) & N(2,3) & N(3,1) & L & N(1,3) & N(3,2) & N(2,1) \\ & M^1N^1 & & M^2N^2 & & M^3N^3 & \\ & I^1I^1_3Y^1 & & I^2I^2_3Y^2 & & I^3I^3_3Y^3 & \end{bmatrix} \qquad (153)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the $3 - SU(3)$ symbols can be computed by calculating $(\mathbf{g}_1\mathbf{g}_2\mathbf{g}_3, \mathcal{I}_\pm)$ with respect to the auxiliary inner product. The normalization n in (eq: 153) has to be evaluated separately, using (eq: 136)

10 Evaluation of $\int_+$

We now evaluate $\int_+$. We have,

$$\int_\pm = \int d\mu^1 \int d\mu^2 \int d\mu^3 \quad \mathbf{g}^1 \quad \text{bf g}^2 \quad \mathbf{g}^3 \quad \mathcal{I}_\pm \tag{154}$$

Here,

$$\int d\mu^a = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta^a}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^2 z_1^a}{\pi} \int \frac{d^2 z_2^a}{\pi} \int \frac{d^2 w_1^a}{\pi} \int \frac{d^2 w_2^a}{\pi} exp(-\bar{z}_1^a z_1^a - \bar{z}_2^a z_2^a - \bar{w}_1^a w_1^a - \bar{w}_2^a w_2^a) \tag{155}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{g}}^a = exp(\overline{r_p^a z_1^a + r_q^a z_2^a + s_q^a w_1^a + s_p^a w_2^a + u^a e^{i\theta^a} - v^a e^{-i\theta^a}(z_1^a w_1^a + z_2^a w_2^a)}), \qquad a = 1, 2, 3 \tag{156}$$

In (eq: 156), it is sufficient to use the 'mass shell' values (eq: 61) for the sources. Further, in $\mathcal{I}_\pm$ (see (eq: 149)), we have,

$$\vec{z}^1 \cdot \vec{w}^2 = z_1^1 w_1^2 + z_2^1 w_2^2 - exp(i\theta^1 - i\theta^2)(z_1^2 w_1^2 + z_2^2 w_2^2), \text{ etc.} \tag{157}$$

Also,

$$\vec{z}^1 \cdot \vec{z}^2 \times \vec{z}^3 = e^{i\theta^1}(z_1^2 z_2^3 - z_1^3 z_2^2) + (\text{cyclic}) \tag{158}$$

Note that all exponents in $\int_+$ are bilinear in $z_{1,2}^a$ and $w_{1,2}^a$ variables. Therefore these integrations can be explicitly done. The only term that could have caused problems is $\vec{z}^1 \cdot \vec{z}^2 \times z^3$ (eq: 158), which is related to the multiplicity problem and is apparently cubic. However, since $z_3^a = e^{i\theta^a}, a = 1, 2, 3$, this term is also bilinear. On the other hand, $\vec{w}^1 \cdot \vec{w}^2 \times \vec{w}^3$ appearing in $\int_-$ is not bilinear after elimination of w_3^a. We will handle this problem in sec.12.

The form of $\int_+$ suggests the following operations. First, dependence on $\theta^a, a = 1, 2, 3$, can be completely transferred to the sources. Make a change of variables,

$$\begin{aligned} z_1^a &\longrightarrow e^{i\theta^a} z_1^a; & z_2^a &\longrightarrow !e^{i\theta^a} \; z_2^a \\ \bar{z}_1^a &\longrightarrow e^{-i\theta^a} \bar{z}_1^a; & \bar{z}_2^a &\longrightarrow e^{-i\theta^a} \bar{z}_2^a \\ w_1^a &\longrightarrow e^{-i\theta^a} w_1^a; & w_2^a &\longrightarrow e^{-i\theta^a} w_2^a \\ \bar{w}_1^a &\longrightarrow e^{-i\theta^a} \bar{w}_1^a; & \bar{w}_2^a &\longrightarrow e^{-i\theta^a} \bar{w}_2^a \end{aligned} \tag{159}$$

The measure (eq: 155), remains unchanged. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}^a \longrightarrow exp(&\overline{(r_p^a e^{i\theta^a}) z_1^a + (r_q^a e^{i\theta^a}) z_2^a + (s_q^a e^{-i\theta^a}) w_1^a + (s_p^a e^{-i\theta^a}) w_2^a} \\ &+ \overline{(u^a e^{i\theta^a}) - (v^a e^{-i\theta^a})(z_1^a w_1^a + z_2^a w_2^a)} \end{aligned} \tag{160}$$

Also,

$$j_{12} \vec{z}^1 \cdot \vec{w}^2 \longrightarrow j_{12} e^{i\theta^1 - i\theta^2} (z_1^1 w_1^2 + z_2^1 w_2^2 - z_1^2 w_1^2 - z_2^2 w_2^2) \tag{161}$$

with similar changes for $j_{23}\vec{z}^2 \cdot \vec{w}^3$, $j_{21}\vec{z}^2 \cdot \vec{w}^1$ etc. Lastly,

$$j_+\vec{z}^1 \cdot \vec{z}^2 \times \vec{z}^3 \to j_+ e^{i\theta^1 + i\theta^2 + i\theta^3} \Big((z_1^2 z_2^3 - z_1^3 z_2^2) + (\text{cyclic}) \Big) \tag{162}$$

This change of variables makes integration over $\theta^a, a = 1,2,3$ very easy. Indeed, these integrations only implement one additive conservation law for each of the three IRs, since

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} e^{in\theta} = \delta_{n,0} \tag{163}$$

The three kinds of charges for various sources as can be read off from (eq: 160) - (eq: 162) are displayed in Table 2. In effect, each of (z_1^a, z_2^a, z_3^a) is given Q^a charge $+1$ and each of (w_1^a, w_2^a, w_3^a) has $Q^a = -1$.

The sources have the corresponding compensating charges. As a consequence, it is not necessary to explicitly do θ^a integrations. We may simply ignore the dependencies on θ^a. After integration over z^a and w^a variables, we only keep polynomial in sources each term of which is neutral with respect to Q^1, Q^2 and Q^3 charges.

¿From Table 2 and our definitions of quantum numbers, powers of the sources in the polynomials have to satisfy,

$$\sum_{b \neq a} N(a,b) + L - \sum_{b \neq a} N(b,a) \quad = \quad R^a + U^a - S^a - V^a, \quad a = 1,2,3. \tag{164}$$

$Q^1 = +1$	$\bar{s}^1$	$\bar{v}^1$	j_{12}	j_{13}	j_+
$Q^1 = -1$	$\bar{r}^1$	$\bar{u}^1$	j_{21}	j_{31}	
$Q^2 = +1$	$\bar{s}^2$	$\bar{v}^2$	j_{23}	j_{21}	j_+
$Q^2 = -1$	$\bar{r}^2$	$\bar{u}^2$	j_{32}	j_{12}	
$Q^3 = +1$	$\bar{s}^3$	$\bar{v}^3$	j_{31}	j_{32}	j_+
$Q^3 = -1$	$\bar{r}^3$	$\bar{v}^3$	j_{13}	j_{23}	

Table 2: $\bar{p}^a, \bar{q}^a$, a=1,2,3 do not carry any of these charges.

¿From (eq: 49) and (eq: 145), we notice that both sides of (eq: 164), is $M^a - N^a$. Thus the θ integration is only implementing equality of $M^a - N^a$ as calculated using the invariants (eq: 145) and the states (eq: 49). However, we know that M and N evaluated in these two ways should each be separately equal. This stronger equality should be a consequence of integration over the z and w variables.

We now consider the integrations over the z and w variables. It is convenient to employ following matrix notation,

$$Z_1 = \begin{pmatrix} z_1^1 \\ z_1^2 \\ z_1^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{Z}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z}_1^1 \\ \bar{z}_1^2 \\ \bar{z}_1^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{R}_p = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{r}_p^1 \\ \bar{r}_p^2 \\ \bar{r}_p^3 \end{pmatrix} \tag{165}$$

with similar notations for Z_2, $\bar{Z}_2$, W_1, $\bar{W}_1$, W_2, $\bar{W}_2$, $\bar{R}_q$, $\bar{S}_p$, $\bar{S}_q$. Further, define,

$$\bar{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{v}^1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{v}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{v}^3 \end{pmatrix} \tag{166}$$

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} +j_{31}+j_{21} & -j_{12} & -j_{13} \\ -j_{21} & +j_{12}+j_{32} & -j_{23} \\ -j_{31} & -j_{32} & +j_{23}+j_{13} \end{pmatrix} \tag{167}$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{168}$$

In terms of these objects the integral we need is,

$$\int \frac{d^2Z_1}{\pi^3}\frac{d^2Z_2}{\pi^3}\frac{d^2W_1}{\pi^3}\frac{d^2W_2}{\pi^3} exp(-\bar{Z}_1^T Z_1 - \bar{Z}_2^T Z_2 - \bar{W}_1^T W_1 - \bar{W}_2^T W_2 + \bar{Z}_1^T \bar{R}_p + \bar{Z}_2^T \bar{R}_q$$

$$+\bar{W}_1^T \bar{S}_q + \bar{W}_2^T \bar{S}_p - \bar{Z}_1^T \bar{V} \bar{W}_1 - \bar{Z}_2^T \bar{V} \bar{W}_2 - {Z_1}^T J W_1 - {Z_2}^T J W_2 + j_+ {Z_1}^T A Z_2 + \sum_{a=1}^{3} \bar{u}^a (\tag{169}$$

where,

$$\int \frac{d^2Z_1}{\pi^3} = \int \frac{d^2z_1^1}{\pi} \int \frac{d^2z_1^2}{\pi} \int \frac{d^2z_1^3}{\pi} \tag{170}$$

etc. We now use (eq: 72) in the following order. Integrate over W_1 and W_2. We get,

$$\int \frac{d^2Z_1}{\pi^3}\frac{d^2Z_2}{\pi^3} exp(-\bar{Z}_1^T Z_1 - \bar{Z}_2^T Z_2 + \bar{Z}_1^T \bar{R}_p + \bar{Z}_2^T \bar{R}_q + Z_1^T J \bar{V} \bar{Z}_1 + Z_2^T J \bar{V} \bar{Z}_2$$

$$-Z_1^T J\bar{S}_q - Z_2^T J\bar{S}_p + j_+ Z_1^T A Z_2 + \sum_{a=1}^{3} \bar{u}^a) \qquad (171)$$

Now integrating over Z_2, we get,

$$\int \frac{d^2 Z_1}{\pi^3} det(1-\bar{V}J^T)^{-1} exp(-\bar{Z}_1^T(1-\bar{V}J^T)Z_1 + \bar{Z}_1^T\bar{R}_p - \bar{S}_q^T J^T Z_1$$
$$-\bar{S}_p^T J^T(1-\bar{V}J^T)^{-1}\bar{R}_q + j_+ Z_1^T A(1-\bar{V}J^T)^{-1}\bar{R}_q + \sum_{a=1}^{a} \bar{u}^a) \qquad (172)$$

Final integration over Z_1 gives,

$$det(1-\bar{V}J^T)^{-2} exp(-\bar{S}_q^T J^T(1-\bar{V}J^T)^{-1}\bar{R}_p - \bar{S}_p^T J^T(1-\bar{V}J^T)^{-1}\bar{R}_q$$
$$+ j_+ \bar{R}_q^T (1-\bar{V}J^T)^{T^{-1}} A^T (1-\bar{V}J^T)^{-1}\bar{R}_p + \sum_{a=1}^{a} \bar{u}^a) \qquad (173)$$

We rewrite this as,

$$exp(-\bar{R}_p^T BJ\bar{S}_q - \bar{R}_q^T BJ\bar{S}_p + j_+ \bar{R}_p^T BAB^T \bar{R}_q + 2tr(ln\ B) + \sum_{a=1}^{a} \bar{u}^a) \qquad (174)$$

where,

$$B = (1 - J\bar{V})^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(J\bar{V})^n}{n!} \qquad (175)$$

11 Algebraic formula for $3-SU(3)$ coefficients when $L \geq 0$

To get $3-SU(3)$ symbols for a given set of three IRs, we have to expand (eq: 174) in powers of the various sources. On mass shell we have,

$$-\bar{R}_p^T BJ\bar{S}_q - \bar{R}_q^T BJ\bar{S}_p = (\bar{r}^1(BJ)_{12}\bar{s}^2 - \bar{r}^2(BJ)_{21}\bar{s}^1)(\bar{p}^1\bar{q}^2 - \bar{q}^1\bar{p}^2) + \text{cyclic} \tag{176}$$

Notice that we always have combinations such as $(\bar{p}^1\bar{q}^2 - \bar{q}^1\bar{p}^2)$ which are invariant under the (isospin) $SU(2)$ transformations of the sources. This is to be expected because our measure is manifestly invariant under this subgroup. Note also that the diagonal terms of the matrix (BJ) do not appear on the r.h.s (this is because of the negative sign in $\bar{s}_q^a = -\bar{s}^a\bar{q}^a, a = 1, 2, 3$ etc.)This is again required by $SU(2)$ invariance. With such diagonal terms we would get terms like $\bar{p}^a\bar{q}^a$ which are not $SU(2)$ invariant. We also have,

$$\bar{R}_p^T BAB^T\bar{R}_q = \bar{r}^1\bar{r}^2(\bar{p}^1\bar{q}^2 - \bar{q}^1\bar{p}^2)(BAB^T)_{12} + \text{cyclic} \tag{177}$$

Again we get $SU(2)$ invariant combinations. The reason now is the antisymmetry of the matrix A and hence of BAB^T.

Explicitly inverting the 3×3 matrix $(1 - J\bar{V})$, we obtain quite simple expressions for the relevant matrix elements:

$$(BJ)_{12} = \|B\|(-j_{12} + j_{12}(j_{23} + j_{13})\bar{v}^3 + j_{13}\bar{v}^3 j_{32})$$

$$(BJ)_{21} = \|B\|(-j_{21} + j_{21}(j_{23} + j_{13})\bar{v}^3 + j_{23}\bar{v}^3 j_{31}) \tag{178}$$

and corresponding cyclic expressions. Here,

$$\|B^{-1}\| = \det(1 - J\bar{V})$$

$$= 1 - ((j_{31} + j_{21})\bar{v}^1 + \text{cyclic}) + ((j_{31}j_{12} + j_{31}j_{32} + j_{21}j_{32})\bar{v}^1\bar{v}^2 + \text{cyclic}) \tag{179}$$

Also notice that,

$$A_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk}c_k \tag{180}$$

where

$$\vec{c} = (c_k) = (1, 1, 1) \tag{181}$$

Therefore,

$$(BAB^T)_{il} = B_{ij}\epsilon_{jkm}c_m B_{lk} = \|B\|\epsilon_{iln}c_m(B^{-1})_{mn} \tag{182}$$

Now,

$$c_m(B^{-1})_{mn} = \sum_{m=1}^{3}(1 - J\bar{V})_{mn} = 1 \text{ for each } n \tag{183}$$

Thus,

$$(BAB^T)_{12} = (BAB^T)_{23} = (BAB^T)_{31} = \|B\| \tag{184}$$

Now we have an explicit expression for $\int_+$:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_+ \sim \|B\|^2 exp[\|B\| \Big((\bar{u}^1 + j_+ \bar{r}^1 \bar{r}^2 - \bar{r}^1 j_{12} \bar{s}^2 + \bar{r}^2 j_{21} \bar{s}^1 + \bar{r}^1 j_{12} \bar{s}^2 (j_{23} + j_{13}) \bar{v}^3 \\ - \bar{r}^2 j_{21} \bar{s}^1 (j_{23} + j_{13}) \bar{v}^3 + \bar{r}^1 j_{13} \bar{v}^3 j_{32} \bar{s}^2 - \bar{r}^2 j_{23} \bar{v}^3 j_{31} \bar{s}^1 \Big) \\ \times (\bar{p}^1 \bar{q}^2 - \bar{p}^2 \bar{q}^1) + (\text{cyclic})](185)\end{aligned}$$

where $\sim$ means that we are supposed to keep only terms consistent with the conservation laws (eq: 164).

This form implies another conservation law. Note that j_{21} and j_{31} always appear with the $\bar{s}^1$ or $\bar{v}^1$. Therefore,

$$N(2,1) + N(3,1) = S^1 + V^1 \tag{186}$$

which we expect because both sides equal N^1. We have similar equations for N^2 and N^3 also. Taken with (eq: 164) which are a consequence of $\theta^a, a = 1,2,3$ integrations, we get separate conservations of M^a and N^a $a = 1,2,3$ as computed from the states and from the invariants.

We now change the r.h.s of (eq: 185) to a form which automatically gives the conservation law:

$$N(1,2) + N(1,3) + L = R^1 + U^1 \tag{187}$$

and corresponding corresponding cyclic expressions. For this we remove $exp(\sum_{a=1}^{3} \bar{u}^a)$ and insert $\bar{u}^1, \bar{u}^2, \bar{u}^3$ factors suitably in the other terms of the exponent and in $\|B\|$:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{+} \rightarrow \|\tilde{B}\|^2 exp[\|\tilde{B}\|((j_+ \bar{r}^1 \bar{r}^2 \bar{u}^3 - \bar{r}^1 j_{12} \bar{s}^2 + \bar{r}^2 j_{21} \bar{s}^1 + \bar{r}^1 j_{12} \bar{s}^2 (\bar{u}^2 j_{23} \bar{v}^3 + \bar{u}^1 j_{13} \bar{v}^3) \\ - \bar{r}^2 j_{21} \bar{s}^1 (\bar{u}^2 j_{23} \bar{v}^3 + \bar{u}^1 j_{13} \bar{v}^3) + \bar{r}^1 j_{13} \bar{v}^3 \bar{u}^3 j_{32} \bar{s}^2 - \bar{r}^2 j_{23} \bar{v}^3 \bar{u}^3 j_{31} \bar{s}^1) \\ \times (\bar{p}^1 \bar{q}^2 - \bar{p}^2 \bar{q}^1) + (\text{cyclic})\end{aligned} \tag{188}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\|\tilde{B}\| = 1 - (\bar{u}^3 j_{31} \bar{v}^1 + \bar{u}^2 j_{21} \bar{v}^1 + (\text{cyclic})) + (\bar{u}^3 j_{31} \bar{v}^1 \bar{u}^1 j_{12} \bar{v}^2 + \bar{u}^3 j_{31} \bar{v}^1 \bar{u}^3 j_{32} \bar{v}^2 \\ + \bar{u}^2 j_{21} \bar{v}^1 \bar{u}^3 j_{32} \bar{v}^2 + (\text{cyclic}))\end{aligned} \tag{189}$$

Now j_{12} and j_{13} always appears with $\bar{r}^1$ or $\bar{u}^1$ except in the terms $\bar{r}^1 \bar{r}^2 \bar{u}^3 +$ (cyclic). The effect of these last terms is to provide monomials where the powers $R^a + U^a, a = 1, 2, 3$ are equal. The net effect is to imply (eq: 187) and corresponding cyclic expressions where L is given by the sum of the powers of $\bar{r}^1 \bar{r}^2 \bar{u}^3, \bar{r}^2 \bar{r}^3 \bar{u}^1$ and $\bar{r}^3 \bar{r}^1 \bar{u}^2$. Thus the r.h.s of (eq: 188) automatically takes care of conservations laws, (eq: 186) and (eq: 187) and corresponding cyclic expressions. It also gives $\int_+$ exactly except for the additional factor

$$\frac{1}{U^1!U^2!U^3!} \tag{190}$$

(coming from $exp(\bar{u}^1 + \bar{u}^2 + \bar{u}^3)$) to be associated with $(\bar{u}^a)^{U^a}, a = 1, 2, 3$.

We have to now expand r.h.s of (eq: 188) in powers of the various monomials in the exponent and determinant. This is exactly analogous to the $SU(2)$ case (eq:33). As in that case, we have to collect all the terms contributing to the monomial. For this we have first to adopt a notation for the powers of the monomials. This is presented in Table 3.

We have deliberately adopted this notation for the powers because, the arguments in the symbols uniquely characterize the term being considered. Thus for example $l(123)$ is associated with $(j_+)(\bar{p}^1\bar{r}^1)(\bar{q}^2\bar{r}^2)(\bar{u}^3)$. We have such variables associated with every permutation of (123) arising from the term $j_+\bar{r}^1\bar{r}^2\bar{u}^3(\bar{p}^1\bar{q}^2 - \bar{p}^2\bar{q}^1) +$ cyclic in the exponent in (eq: 188). In Table 4, we catalogue all allowed arguments in our variables of Table 3. The advantage of our notation is that we can easily trace the terms that involve a given source $j_{12}, \bar{p}^1$ etc. Thus for example, we can write the conservation laws in a compact form as below. By collecting the powers of each source, we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
P^{\alpha} &= \sum (l(\alpha - -) + k(\alpha - --) + m(\alpha - - - --) + n(\alpha - - - --));\\
Q^{\alpha} &= \sum (l(-\alpha -) + k(-\alpha - -) + m(-\alpha - - - -) + n(-\alpha - - - -));\\
R^{\alpha} &= \sum (l(\alpha - -) + l(-\alpha -) + k(- - \alpha -)\\
&\quad + m(- - \alpha - --) + n(- - \alpha - --));\\
S^{\alpha} &= \sum (k(- - -\alpha) + m(- - -\alpha - -) + n(- - -\alpha - -));\\
U^{\alpha} &= \sum (l(- - \alpha) + m(- - - - \alpha -) + n(- - - - \alpha -)) + e(\alpha -)\\
&\quad + f(\alpha - --) + f(- - \alpha -) + 2g(\alpha\beta\gamma));\\
V^{\alpha} &= \sum (m(- - - - -\alpha) + n(- - - - -\alpha)) + e(-\alpha)\\
&\quad + f(-\alpha - -) + f(- - -\alpha) + g(-\alpha -) + g(- - \alpha);\\
L &= \sum l(- - -);\\
N(\alpha,\beta) &= \sum (k(- - \alpha\beta) + m(- - \alpha\beta - -)) + m(- - - - \alpha\beta)\\
&\quad + n(- - \alpha\beta - -) + n(- - -\alpha\beta) + e(\alpha\beta) + f(\alpha\beta - -)\\
&\quad + f(- - \alpha\beta) + g(\alpha\beta -) + g(\alpha - \beta)); \qquad (191)
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the following notation : $\sum$ stands for summation over all allowed arguments in the blank spaces.

Note that (eq: 190) and (eq: 191) express the non-negative integers,

P^α,...,$V^\alpha, N(\alpha,\beta)$ and $L, \alpha, \beta = 1, 2$ or 3

in terms of yet other non-negative integers of Table 3. It is easy to see that the constraint $P^\alpha + Q^\alpha = R^\alpha + S^\alpha$ for the labels of each IR $\alpha = 1, 2$ or 3 is satisfied. Also the constraints (eq: 186) and (eq: 187) are satisfied as is seen from the positions of the labels and Table 3.

We may read off various conservation laws in the $3 - SU(3)$ symbols from (eq: 191):

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} P^\alpha = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} Q^\alpha \tag{192}$$

This is valid because we are summing over all positions of α in the labels. This is simply a statement of the conservation of I_3.

Similarly,

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} U^\alpha = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} V^\alpha + L \tag{193}$$

This implies conservation of hypercharge as seen by rewriting as

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} (\frac{1}{3}(M^\alpha - N^\alpha) + V^\alpha - U^\alpha) = 0 \tag{194}$$

When we expand the exponent in powers of each monomial, we collect a factor

$$\|\tilde{B}\|^{1+h} \tag{195}$$

in (eq: 188). Here

$$-1+h=\sum(l()+k()+m()+n()) \tag{196}$$

Alternately, note that the number of $\|\tilde{B}\|$ factors is the sum of the number of s^{α} variables ($\alpha=1,2,3$) and the power of j_{+}, i.e,

$$-1+h=L+S'+S^{2}+S^{3} \tag{197}$$

We may now apply the formula

$$(1-\sum_{k=1}^{K}x_{k})^{-1-h}=\sum_{n_{1},n_{2}=0}^{\infty}\frac{(h+\sum n_{k})!}{h!\prod_{k}(n_{k}!)}\prod_{k=1}^{K}x_{k}^{n_{k}} \tag{198}$$

to calculate coefficients of various monomials in (eq: 195) where $\|\tilde{B}\|$ is as in (eq: 188). This gives the coefficient of the monomials in the expansion of the r.h.s. of (eq: 188) to be,

$$\frac{1+\sum(l()+k()+m()+n()+e()+f()+g())!}{(1+\sum(l()+k()+m()+n())!.\prod(l())!(k())!(m())!(n())!(e())!(f())!(g())!}$$
$$\times(-1)^{\sum_{s}(k()+n())+\sum_{A}m()+\sum(f()+g())} \tag{199}$$

Here $\sum$ and $\prod$ are over all possible arguments of the variables indicated. $\sum_{S}$ (respectively $\sum_{A}$) correspond to summations over only those arguments $(\alpha\beta\gamma\delta...)$ such that $\alpha\beta$ is same as (respectively transposes of) $\gamma\delta$.

In order to get the coefficient of the monomial in $\int_+$, we have to multiply (eq: 199) by the factor (eq: 190). We may now extract the $3-SU(3)$ symbol from eqn. (eq: 153), by supplying factors of $N^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and M^{-1} as required. We get the $3-SU(3)$ symbol for $L \geq 0$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} N(1,2) & N(2,3) & N(3,1) & L & N(1,3) & N(3,2) & N(2,1) \\ & M^1N^1 & & M^2N^2 & & M^3N^3 & \\ & I^1I^1_3Y^1 & & I^2I^2_3Y^2 & & I^3I^3_3Y^3 & \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= n^{-1/2}(N(1,2), N(2,3), N(3,1), N(2,1), N(3,2), N(1,3), L) \\ &\times[\prod_{\alpha=1}^{3} \frac{P^\alpha!Q^\alpha!R^\alpha!S^\alpha!U^\alpha!V^\alpha!(U^\alpha+2I^\alpha+1)!(2I^\alpha+1)}{(V^\alpha+2I^\alpha+1)!}]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \times &\sum_{e,f,g,k,l,m,n} \frac{(1+\sum e()+f()+g()+k()+l()+m()+n())!}{(1+\sum(k()+l()+m()+n())!\prod(l()!\ldots n())!} \\ &\times(-1)^{\sum_S(k()+n())+\sum_A m()+\sum(f()+g())} \end{aligned} \quad (200)$$

This is the exact analogue of (eq: 33) of $SU(2)$. The Clebsch - Gordan coefficients are presented as a sum over non - negative integers which satisfy conditions (eq: 191).

Table 3:

Monomial	$j_+\bar{p}^1\bar{q}^2\bar{r}^1\bar{r}^2\bar{u}^3$	$\bar{p}^2\bar{q}^1\bar{r}^1\bar{r}^2\bar{u}^3$	$\bar{p}^1\bar{q}^2\bar{r}^1 j_{12}\bar{s}^2$	$\bar{p}^2\bar{q}^1\bar{r}^1 j_{12}\bar{s}^2$
Order used in label	$\bar{p}^1\bar{q}^2\bar{u}^3$	$\bar{p}^2\bar{q}^1\bar{u}^3$	$\bar{p}^1\bar{q}^2\bar{r}^1\bar{s}^2$	$\bar{p}^2\bar{q}^1\bar{r}^1\bar{s}^2$
Power	$l(123)$	$l(213)$	$k(1212)$	$k(2112)$

$\bar{p}^1\bar{q}^2\bar{r}^2 j_{21}\bar{s}^1$	$\bar{p}^1\bar{q}^2\bar{r}^1 j_{12}\bar{s}^2\bar{u}^2 j_{23}\bar{v}^3$	$\bar{p}^1\bar{q}^2\bar{r}^1 j_{12}\bar{s}^2\bar{u}^1 j_{13}\bar{v}^3$	$\bar{p}^1\bar{q}^2\bar{r}^1 j_{13}\bar{v}^3\bar{u}^3 j_{32}\bar{s}^2$
$\bar{p}^1\bar{q}^2\bar{r}^2\bar{s}^1$	$\bar{p}^1\bar{q}^2\bar{r}^1\bar{s}^2\bar{u}^2\bar{v}^3$	$\bar{p}^1\bar{q}^2\bar{r}^1\bar{s}^2\bar{u}^1\bar{v}^3$	$\bar{p}^1\bar{q}^2\bar{r}^1\bar{s}^2\bar{u}^3\bar{v}^3$
$k(1221)$	$m(121223)$	$m(121213)$	$n(12123)$

$\bar{u}^3 j_{31}\bar{v}^1$	$\bar{u}^2 j_{21}\bar{v}^1$	$\bar{u}^3 j_{31}\bar{v}^1\bar{u}^1 j_{12}\bar{v}^2$	$\bar{u}^2 j_{21}\bar{v}^1\bar{u}^1 j_{32}\bar{v}^2$	$\bar{u}^3 j_{31}\bar{v}^1\bar{u}^3 j_{32}\bar{v}^2$
$\bar{u}^3\bar{v}^1$	$\bar{u}^2\bar{v}^1$	$\bar{u}^3\bar{v}^1\bar{u}^1\bar{v}^2$	$\bar{u}^3\bar{v}^2\bar{u}^2\bar{v}^1$	$\bar{u}^3\bar{u}^3\bar{v}^2\bar{v}^1$
$e(31)$	$e(21)$	$f(3112)$	$f(3221)$	$g(321)$

Table 4:

$\alpha, \beta, \gamma \cdots = 1, 2$ or 3

$l(\alpha\beta\gamma)$	: $(\alpha\beta\gamma)$ is a permutation of (123)
$k(\alpha\beta\gamma\delta)$	: $(\alpha\beta)$ is same or transpose of $(\gamma\delta)$
$m(\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon\phi)$	: $(\gamma\delta\phi)$ is a permutation of (123);
	: ($\alpha\beta$ is same or transpose of $\gamma\delta$);
	: ϕ is either γ or δ.
$n(\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon)$	: $(\gamma\delta\epsilon)$is a permutation of of (123);
	: ($\alpha\beta$ is same or transpose of $\gamma\delta$).
$e(\alpha\beta) : \alpha \neq \beta$.	
$f(\alpha\beta\gamma\delta)$	: $\beta = \gamma$ and $(\alpha\beta\delta)$ is a permutation
	:of (123);
$g(\alpha\beta\gamma)$	: $(\alpha\beta\gamma)$ only even permutation of (123)

12 Discussion

In our calculations for $SU(3)$ we have ignored the questions involving the choice of phases till now. We will now make a careful analysis. With our definition (eq: 55) of the unnormalized basis vectors, note that the $\underline{3}^*$ is represented by $(-w_1, w_2, w_3)$ upto a normalization constant . Our computations of the relative normalization, (eq: 109)-(eq: 110) fixes only the magnitude of the normalizations. The phases may be chosen arbitrarily as discussed in Sec. 2 for $SU(2)$ case. Under a change of phases,

$$|E> \rightarrow exp(i\theta_E)|E>, \tag{201}$$

the representation matrix changes as,

$$(D(g))_{EE'} \rightarrow e^{i\theta_E}(D(g))_{EE'}e^{-i\theta_{E'}} \tag{202}$$

and remains unitary.

However one may want to make a choice of phases to rid the formulae of phases and relative signs if possible. For instance, we may choose the phase to have $\underline{3}^*$ be represented by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(w_1, w_2, w_3)$.

Fortunately our basis vectors (eq: 53-55) have real coefficients even though they are represented by polynomials in complex variables. Similarly, our invariants (eq: 140) have real coefficients. Thus we are assured that the $3 - SU(3)$ symbols are real as is checked in (eq: 200).

We now address the ambiguity in the phase of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. In the definition (eq: 119), various coupled bas is vectors $|\lambda"\alpha" >^k$, are required to transform as an IR(λ"). This fixes phases of all $3 - SU(3)$ symbols except for an overall phase for each λ" and k.

In previous sections we calculated $3 - SU(3)$ symbols only for $L \geq 0$ case. With our choice of regarding w_3 as a dependent variable, the relevant integrations could be explicitly computed in this case. We now show the $3 - SU(3)$ symbols can be obtained for $L < 0$ also.

In constructing the basis vectors, we could have as well chosen to eliminate z_3 instead of w_3. We could have done all computations with this basis. In this case, the integrations for $3 - SU(3)$ symbols can be done explicitly for $L < 0$ instead of $L > 0$.

This is related to the invariance of the $3 - SU(3)$ symbols under conjugation of the IRs involved. (It is possible that the invariance is only upto an additional phase factor as may happen if the phases of the basis states are not chosen carefully). In our formalism this invariance may be seen as follows. Consider an expansion of an invariant (eq: 140) with $L \geq 0$ as a linear combination of the basis vectors (eq: 53) -(eq: 55). Now consider an interchange $\vec{z} \leftrightarrow \vec{w}$ in this expansion. The effect on the invariant is to change it to another invariant with,

$$N(\alpha, \beta) \to N(\beta, \alpha), L \to -L \tag{203}$$

This means,

$$M^a \leftrightarrow N^a \quad a = 1, 2, 3. \tag{204}$$

The effect of $\vec{z} \leftrightarrow \vec{w}$ on the generating functions (eq: 53) is equivalent to the following changes:

$$p^a \to -q^a, \quad q^a \to p^a, \quad r^a \to s^a, \quad s^a \to -r^a, \quad u^a \to v^a, \quad v^a \to u^a \tag{205}$$

Thus the effect on the unnormlized basis states (eq: 55) is,

$$|PQRSUV) \to (-1)^{Q+R}|QPSRVU) \tag{206}$$

In the usual notation,

$$M^a \leftrightarrow N^a, \quad I^a \to I^a, \quad I_3^a \to -I_3^a, \quad Y^a \to -Y^a \tag{207}$$

and in addition an additional phase factor $(-1)^{Q+R}$ is picked up.

In eq.(188), note that the isospin dependence is always contained in the $SU(2)$ invariant combination of sources, $(\bar{p}^1\bar{q}^2 - \bar{p}^2\bar{q}^1)$ etc. As a consequence, it is possible to extract isoscalar factors also [3]. However, we will not pursue this here. Equation(188) can be used, in principle, to extract Regge symmetries [62] of $SU(3)$ Clebsch - Gordan coefficients. We don't attempt this here.

13 Summary of results

For easy accessibility we summarize our results in a self - contained way here.

(i)Labels for the basic vectors.

Normalized basis vectors are denoted by, $|M, N; P, Q, R, S, U, V\rangle$. All labels are non-negative integers. All IRs are uniquely labeled by (M, N). For a given IR (M, N), labels (P, Q, R, S, U, V) take all non - negative integral values subject to the constraints:

$$R + U = M, \quad S + V = N, \quad P + Q = R + S.$$

The allowed values can be read off easily: R takes all values from 0 to M, and S from 0 to N. For a given R and S, Q takes all values from 0 to $R + S$.

(ii) Explicit realization of the basis states.

Consider the coefficient of the monomial

$$p^P q^Q r^R s^S u^U v^V$$

in

$$exp(r(pz_1 + qz_2) + s(pw_2 - qw_1) + uz_3 + vw_3).$$

Divide it by the normalization,

$$\left[\frac{(U + 2I + 1)!(V + 2I + 1)!}{P!Q!R!S!U!V!(2I + 1)}\right]^{1/2}$$

This then provides an explicit realization of the normalized basis state $|PQRSUV\rangle$

(iii)Generating function for the invariants :

All Clebsch - Gordan coefficients can be extracted from the following generating function of the invariants :

$$\mathcal{I}_{\pm}(j_{12}, j_{23}, j_{31}, j_{21}, j_{32}, j_{13}, j_{\pm}) = exp(j_{12}\vec{z}^1 \cdot \vec{w}^2 + j_{23}\vec{z}^2 \cdot \vec{w}^3 + j_{31}\vec{z}^3 \cdot \vec{w}^1$$
$$+ j_{21}\vec{z}^2 \cdot \vec{w}^1 + j_{32}\vec{z}^3 \cdot \vec{w}^2 + j_{13}\vec{z}^1 \cdot \vec{w}^3 + (j_+\vec{z}^1 \cdot \vec{z}^2 \times \vec{z}^3 \text{ or } j_-\vec{w}^1 \cdot \vec{w}^2 \times \vec{w}^3))$$

(iv) Multiplicity labels for the Clebsch - Gordan series:

For given three IRs, $(M', N'), (M^{"}, N^{"}), (M''', N''')$, Construct all solutions of

$$N(1,2) + N(1,3) + L\epsilon(L) = M^1$$

$$N(2,3) + N(2,1) + L\epsilon(L) = M^2$$

$$N(3,1) + N(3,2) + L\epsilon(L) = M^3$$

$$N(2,1) + N(3,1) + |L|\epsilon(-L) = N^1$$

$$N(3,2) + N(1,2) + |L|\epsilon(-L) = N^2$$

$$N(1,3) + N(2,3) + |L|\epsilon(-L) = N^3$$

$$3L = \sum_{a=1}^{3}(M^a - N^a)$$

Where $N(a,b)$, $a \neq b$ are non - negative integers. They provide unambiguous labels for the Clebsch - Gordan series as follows.

For given two IRs (M, N) and (M', N'), construct all $(M^{"}, N^{"})$ for which $N(a,b), a \neq b$ have non - negative integer solutions. Then the reversed pair $(N", M")$ gives all IRs in the Clebsch - Gordan series. Multiplicity of solutions for one $(M", N")$ provides the multiplicity of repeating IRs. Therefore $N(a,b)$ unambiguously provide the multiplicity labels.

(v) $3-SU(3)$ symbol

$3-G$ symbols are related to the Clebsch - Gordan coefficients as in (eq: 132), and have more explicit symmetry than the latter. The $3-SU(3)$ symbol is represented by,

$$\begin{bmatrix} & N(1,2) & & N(1,3) & & N(2,3) & & N(2,1) & & N(3,1) & & N(3,2) & \\ N^2 & & M^1 & & N^3 & & M^2 & & N^1 & & M^3 & & N^2 \\ & & U^1,R^1 & & V^3,S^3 & & U^2,R^2 & & V^1,S^1 & & U^3,R^3 & & V^2,S^2 \\ & & P^1 & & P^3 & & P^2 & & Q^1 & & Q^3 & & Q^2 \end{bmatrix}_L$$

Here the top row specifies the multiplicity labels: the second and third rows specify the usual complete set of labels for the basis states of the three IRs.

(vi)Generating function for the $3-SU(3)$ symbol for $L>0$.

Extract coefficient of the monomial

$$j_{12}^{N(1,2)}j_{21}^{N(2,1)}j_{13}^{N(1,3)}j_{31}^{N(3,1)}j_{23}^{N(2,3)}j_{32}^{N(3,2)}j_{+}^{L}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{3}\bar{p}^{P_\alpha}\bar{q}^{Q_\alpha}\bar{r}^{R_\alpha}\bar{s}^{S_\alpha}\bar{u}^{U_\alpha}\bar{v}^{V_\alpha}$$

in

$$\int_{+}\rightarrow\|\tilde{B}\|^2 exp[\|\tilde{B}\|((j_{+}\bar{r}^1\bar{r}^2\bar{u}^3-\bar{r}^1j_{12}\bar{s}^2+\bar{r}^2j_{21}\bar{s}^1+\bar{r}^1j_{12}\bar{s}^2(\bar{u}^2j_{23}\bar{v}^3+\bar{u}^1j_{13}\bar{v}^3)$$

$$-\bar{r}^2j_{21}\bar{s}^1(\bar{u}^2j_{23}\bar{v}^3+\bar{u}^1j_{13}\bar{v}^3)+\bar{r}^1j_{13}\bar{v}^3\bar{u}^3j_{32}\bar{s}^2-\bar{r}^2j_{23}\bar{v}^3\bar{u}^3j_{31}\bar{s}^1)$$

$$\times(\bar{p}^1\bar{q}^2-\bar{p}^2\bar{q}^1)+(\text{cyclic})]$$

Multiply by the factor

$$\prod_{\alpha=1}^{3}\left[\frac{P^\alpha!Q^\alpha!R^\alpha!R^\alpha!S^\alpha!U^\alpha!V^\alpha!(U^\alpha+2I^\alpha+1)!(2I^\alpha+1)}{(V^\alpha+2I^\alpha+1)!}\right]^{1/2}$$

This gives the $3-SU(3)$ symbol up to an overall normalization depending only on IRs involved.

(vii)Formula for $3-SU(3)$ symbol for $L>0$.

We have obtained an explicit analogue of the Bargmann's formula for the $3-j$ symbol of $SU(2)$, (eq: 33). This formula for $3-SU(3)$ symbols (for $L>0$) is presented in (eq: 187). The notation used for the summation variables is defined in Tables 3 and 4 as explained in detail in Sec.11.

(viii)Generating function and formula for $3-SU(3)$ symbol for $L<0$ case

These can be obtained from those for $L>0$ by making the changes indicated in (eq: 203-207).

Appendix A: Group action on the variables

In Sec. 5, we set $|z_3|=1$ in constructing the auxiliary measure. Thus the IRs are realized in the space of polynomials in z_1, z_2, w_1, w_2 and $e^{i\theta}$. We clarify here the manner in which the group acts on these variables.

The action of the group on $\vec{z}$ and $\vec{w}$ is given by,

$$\mathcal{U} : z_i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{ij} z_i \equiv z_i^{'} \qquad w_i = \mathcal{U}_{ij}^{*} w_j \equiv w_i' \tag{208}$$

We have imposed the constraint, $\vec{w} \cdot \vec{z} = 0$ and regarded $(z_1, z_2, z_3, w_1, w_2)$ as independent variables. The action of the group on these variables is,

$$\mathcal{U} : (z_1, z_2, z_3, w_1, w_2) \rightarrow (z_1', z_2', z_3', w_1', w_2') \tag{209}$$

where the primed variables are defined in (eq: 208).

Now let us take $|z_3| = 1$ i.e $z_3 = e^{i\theta}$. However, under the action (eq: 209), $z_3 \neq 0$ in general:

$$z_3' = |z_3'| exp(i\theta') \tag{210}$$

Now define,

$$z_1" = \frac{z_1'}{|z_3'|}, \quad z_2" = \frac{z_2'}{|z_3'|}, \quad w_1" = \frac{w_1'}{|z_3'|}, \quad w_2" = \frac{w_2'}{|z_3'|} \tag{211}$$

Then action of the group is defined by,

$$\mathcal{U} : (z_1, z_2, w_1, w_2, \theta) \rightarrow (z_1", z_2", w_1", w_2", \theta') \tag{212}$$

This action is non-linear as seen from (eq: 208) and (eq: 211). Inspite of this it serves our purpose as a calculating tool.

Also the action is ambiguous whenever $z_3' = 0$, because θ' is then undefined. However, this does not pose a problem for us, because we use a generic situation in our calculations. $z_3^{'} = 0$ is a set of measure zero in our space of variables, $(z_1, z_2, z_3, w_1, w_2)$.

Table 5: $\underline{3}(M = 1, N = 0)$

-	P	Q	R	S	U	V	I	I_3	Y	$\|PQRSUV)$	$N^{1/2}$
u	1	0	1	0	0	0	1/2	1/2	1/3	z_1	$\sqrt{2}$
d	0	1	1	0	0	0	1/2	$-1/2$	1/3	z_2	$\sqrt{2}$
s	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	$-2/3$	z_3	$\sqrt{2}$

Appendix B: Examples

In this appendix we illustrate various aspects of our calculus with specific examples.

Basis for $\underline{3}$, $\underline{3^*}$ and 8 are prescribed in Tables 5, 6 and 7. Quark model notation for these states is prescribed in first column. The allowed quantum numbers $PQRSUV$ are computed from constraints (eq: 49), (eq: 50). I, I_3, Y are computed using (eq: 52). The unnormalized basis states $|PQRSUV)$ are computed from (eq: 53) and (eq: 55). The Gelfand-Zetlin normalizations $N^{1/2}(E)$ are given by (eq: 111). Note that they all agree with earlier calculations. Note that we have generated only eight states even though we have not explicitly rewritten w_3 in terms of z_1, w_1, z_2 and w_2. This is because of

Table 6: $\underline{3^*}(M=0,N=1)$

-	P	Q	R	S	U	V	I	I_3	Y	$\|PQRSUV)$	$N^{1/2}$
$\bar{d}$	1	0	0	1	0	0	1/2	1/2	$-1/3$	w_2	$\sqrt{2}$
$\bar{u}$	0	1	0	1	0	0	1/2	$-1/2$	$-1/3$	$-w_1$	$\sqrt{2}$
$\bar{s}$	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	w_3	$\sqrt{2}$

Note that $|I=1/2,I_3=-1/2,Y=-1/3>$ is represented by $-\frac{1}{2}w_1$.

the specific way in which p and q enter in the generating function (eq: 53).

We now present explicit examples of our labeling (eq: 145) for multiplicity. In simple cases we may extract the $3-SU(3)$ symbols easily from the invariants (Table 8) and the explicit representations (Tables 5, 6, 7) (upto an overall normalization n). We present this for the case $\underline{3}\times\underline{3}^*\times\underline{8}$. The relevant invariant is $(\vec{z^1}\cdot\vec{w^3})(\vec{z^3}\cdot\vec{w^2}\cdot\vec{w^3})(\vec{z^3}\cdot\vec{w^2})$.

Using tables 5, 6 and 7, we have,

$$(\vec{z^1}\cdot\vec{w^3})(\vec{z^3}\cdot\vec{w^2})$$

$$=\sqrt{12}|u>|\bar{u}>|\pi^0>+2|u>|\bar{u}>|\eta>-2\sqrt{6}|u>|\bar{d}>|\pi^->$$

$$-2\sqrt{6}|u>|\bar{s}>|K^->-2\sqrt{6}|d>|\bar{u}>|\pi^+>+\sqrt{12}|d>|\bar{d}>|\pi^0>$$

Table 7: $\underline{8}(M=1, N=1)$

-	P	Q	R	S	U	V	I	I_3	Y	$\vert PQRSUV)$	$N^{1/2}$
π^+	2	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	$z_1 w_2$	$\sqrt{6}$
π^0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	$-z_1 w_1 + z_2 w_2$	$\sqrt{12}$
π^-	0	2	1	1	0	0	1	-1	0	$-z_2 w_1$	$\sqrt{6}$
K^+	1	0	1	0	0	1	1/2	1/2	1	$z_1 w_3$	$\sqrt{6}$
K^0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1/2	$-1/2$	1	$z_2 w_3$	$\sqrt{6}$
$\bar{K}^0$	1	0	0	1	1	0	1/2	1/2	-1	$w_2 z_3$	$\sqrt{6}$
K^-	0	1	0	1	1	0	1/2	$-1/2$	-1	$-w_1 z_3$	$\sqrt{6}$
η	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	$(z_3 w_3 = -z_1 w_1 - z_2 w_2)$	2

Table 8:

Invariant	$N(1,2)$	$N(2,3)$	$N(3,1)$	$N(2,1)$	$N(3,2)$	$N(1,3)$	L	Invariant
$\underline{3} \times \underline{3}^* \times \underline{8}$	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	$(z^1 \cdot w^3)(z^3 \cdot w^2)$
$(\underline{8} \times \underline{8} \times \underline{8})_1$	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	$(z^1 \cdot w^2)(z^2 \cdot w^3)(z^3 \cdot w^1)$
$(\underline{8} \times \underline{8} \times \underline{8})_2$	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	$(z^2 \cdot w^1)(z^3 \cdot w^2)(z^1 \cdot w^3)$
$\underline{3} \times \underline{3} \times \underline{3}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	$(z^1 \cdot z^2 \times z^3)$

$$-2|d>|\bar{d}>|\eta> +2\sqrt{6}|d>|\bar{s}>|\bar{K}^0> -2\sqrt{6}|s>|\bar{u}>|K^+>$$
$$+2\sqrt{6}|s>|\bar{d}>|K^0> +4|s>|\bar{s}>|\eta> \quad (213)$$

The coefficients are the $3-SU(3)$ symbols upto an overall normalization constant. We may compare this with the calculation in the quark model [63]. The relevant invariant is, $\bar{Q}MQ$, where

$$\bar{Q} = (\,\bar{u}, \quad \bar{d}, \quad \bar{s}\,)$$
$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\pi^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\eta & \pi^+ & K^+ \\ \pi^- & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\pi^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\eta & K^0 \\ K^- & \bar{K}^0 & -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\eta \end{pmatrix}, \qquad Q = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ s \end{pmatrix} \qquad (214)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{Q}MQ = {} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}u\bar{u}\pi^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}u\bar{u}\eta + d\bar{u}\pi^+ + s\bar{u}K^+ + u\bar{d}\pi^- - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}d\bar{d}\pi^0 \\
& + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}d\bar{d}\eta + s\bar{d}K^0 + u\bar{s}K^- + d\bar{s}\bar{K^0} - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}s\bar{s}\eta
\end{aligned}
\tag{215}
$$

The coefficients match with those in (eq: 213), if we multiply by an overall normalization factor $2\sqrt{6}$ and make the following change in the phases of the states:

$$\pi^0 \to -\pi^0, \quad \pi^- \to -\pi^-, \quad K^- \to -K^-, \quad \bar{u} \to -\bar{u}$$

We may check that our formula for the $3-SU(3)$ coefficients reproduces these numbers. Instead of enumerating all non-zero $m(------)$ etc for this situation, we will employ the formula (eq: 188-eq: 189). We have to collect only the terms linear in j_{13} and j_{32} in,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\tilde{B}\|^2 exp[\|\tilde{B}\|(-\bar{r}^3 j_{32}\bar{s}^2(\bar{p}^3\bar{q}^2 - \bar{p}^2\bar{q}^3) - \bar{r}^1 j_{13}\bar{s}^3(\bar{p}^1\bar{q}^3 - \bar{p}^3\bar{q}^1) \\
& -\bar{r}^1 j_{13}\bar{s}^3\bar{u}^3 j_{32}\bar{v}^2(\bar{p}^3\bar{q}^1 - \bar{p}^1\bar{q}^3) + \bar{r}^1 j_{13}\bar{v}^3\bar{u}^3 j_{32}\bar{s}^2(\bar{p}^1\bar{q}^2 - \bar{p}^2\bar{q}^1))
\end{aligned}
\tag{216}
$$

where the relevant piece of $\|\tilde{B}\|$ is,

$$\|\tilde{B}\| = 1 + \bar{u}^3 j_{32}\bar{v}^2 + \bar{u}^j_{13}\bar{v}^3 + \bar{u}^3 j_{32}\bar{v}^2\bar{u}^1 j_{13}\bar{v}^3$$

We get the coefficient of $j_{13}j_{32}$ to be,

$$(\bar{r}^1\bar{p}^1)(\bar{s}^2\bar{q}^2)(\bar{r}^3\bar{s}^3\bar{p}^3\bar{q}^3) - (\bar{r}^1\bar{q}^1)(\bar{s}^2\bar{q}^2)(\bar{r}^3\bar{s}^3(\bar{p}^3)^2) - (\bar{r}^1\bar{p}^1)(\bar{s}^2\bar{p}^2)(\bar{r}^3\bar{s}^3(\bar{q}^3)^2)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+(\bar{r}^1\bar{q}^1)(\bar{s}^2\bar{p}^2)(\bar{r}^3\bar{s}^3\bar{p}^3\bar{q}^3)+2(\bar{r}^1\bar{q}^1)(\bar{v}^2)(\bar{s}^3\bar{u}^3\bar{p}^3)-2(\bar{r}^1\bar{p}^1)(\bar{v}^2)(\bar{s}^3\bar{u}^3\bar{q}^3)\\
&\quad+(\bar{r}^1\bar{p}^1)(\bar{s}^2\bar{q}^2)(\bar{u}^3\bar{v}^3)-(\bar{r}^1\bar{q}^1)(\bar{s}^2\bar{p}^2)(\bar{u}^3\bar{v}^3)+2(\bar{u}^1)(\bar{v}^2)(\bar{u}^3\bar{v}^3)\\
&\quad-3(\bar{u}^1)(\bar{s}^2\bar{q}^2)(\bar{r}^3\bar{v}^3\bar{p}^3)+3(\bar{u}^1)(\bar{s}^2\bar{p}^2)(\bar{r}^3\bar{v}^3\bar{q}^3)
\end{aligned}
\tag{217}
$$

Supplying appropriate factors of $N^{\frac{1}{2}}M^{-1}$ for the states of the three IRs in each term, we reproduce the coefficients in (eq: 213). For instance, the last term corresponds to $s\bar{d}K^0$. The factors of $N^{\frac{1}{2}}M^{-1}$ for these states are, $\sqrt{2}$, $\sqrt{2}$ and $\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$ respectively. Therefore we get the coefficients to be $2\sqrt{6}$ which agrees with (eq: 213).

References

[1] Barut A.O and R.Raczka, The Theory of Group Representations and Applications, Polish Scientific Publications, 1977.

[2] Zelobenko D.P., Compact Lie Groups and their Representations, (American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island (1973)) pp123.

[3] Wybourne B.G., Classical Groups for Physicists, Wiley-Interscience (1970).

[4] Gilmore R., Lie Groups, Lie Algebras and Some of Their Applications, Wiley, New York (1974).

[5] Hamermesh M, Group Theory and its Applications to Physical Problems, Addison-Wesley (1962).

[6] Murunaghan F.D., The Unitary and Rotation Groups, Spartan Books, Washington, D.C., 1962.

[7] Wigner E.P., Group Theory and its Applications to the Quantum Mechanics of Atomic Spectra, Academic Press (1959).

[8] Weyl H., The Classical Groups. Their Invariants and Representations, Princeton University Press (1946).

[9] Racah G., Group Theory and Spectroscopy, Lect. Notes, pp. 102, Princeton. Preprint by CERN, 1961, no. 61-68 and J.I.N.R. no R-1864.

[10] Boerner H., Representations of Classical Groups. (North-Holland Publishing Co., 1963).

[11] Littlewood, D.E., The Theory of Group Characters and Matrix Representations of Groups, Oxford University Press, 1950.

[12] Lischtenberg D.B., Unitary Symmetry and Elementary Particles, Academic Press, 1970.

[13] Smorodinskii Ya.A and L.A. Shelepin, Usp. Fiz. Nauk (Sov.) 106 (1972).

[14] Biedenharn L.C. and J.D. Louck, in Angular Momentum in Quantum Physics: Theory and Applications (Encyclopedia in Mathematics and its Applications, Vol 8).

[15] Moshinsky M., Rev. Mod. Phys. 34 (1962) 813 ; J. Math. Phys. 4 (1963) 1128.

[16] Macfarlane A.J., L.O. O'Raifeartaigh and P.S. Rao, J. Math. Phys. 8 (1967) 536.

[17] Gadiyar G.H. and H.S. Sharatchandra, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 25 (1992) L85.

[18] Biedenharn L.C., M.A. Lohe., and J.D.Louck., J. Math. Phys.26 (1985) 1455.

[19] Biedenharn L.C. and J.D. Louck, in: The Racah-Wigner Algebra in Quantum Theory, (Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its applications, ed. Gian-Carlo Rota, Addison-Wesley, 1981).

[20] Preziori B., A.Simoni and B.Vitale, Nuovo Cimento, 34 (1964) 1101.

[21] Jasselette P., Nucl. Phys. 1 (1967) 521, and 529.

[22] Jasselette P., J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 13 (1980) 2261.

[23] Resnikoff M., J. Math. Phys. 8 (1967) 63.

[24] O'Reilly M.F., J. Math. Phys. 23 (1982) 2022.

[25] Anishetty Ramesh, G.H.Gadiyar, Manu Mathur and H.S.Sharatchandra, Phys. Lett. B271, 391 (1991).

[26] Gadiyar G.H. and H.S. Sharatchandra, Algebraic Solution of the Littlewood – Richardson Rule: Multiplicity in $SU(n)$ Clebsch- Gordan Series. IMSc Preprint # 93/18.

[27] Biedenharn L.C. and D. Flath, Commun. Math. Phys. 93 (1984) 143.

[28] Biedenharn L.C., R.A. Gustafson, M.A. Lohe, J.D. Louck, S.C. Milne, in Special Functions: Gr. Theor. Aspects and Applications, D.Reidel Publ.Co.,1984.

[29] Louck J.D., American Journal of Physics. 38 (1970) 3.

[30] Louck J.D., and L.C.Biedenharn, Adv.Q. Chem (1990?).

[31] Gelfand I.M. and A.V. Zelevinskij, Funkts. Anal. Prilozh. 18(3) (1984) 14, Reprinted in Collected Works, ibid.

[32] Biedenharn L.C., A.Giovannini, J.D. Louck., J.Math.Phys.8 (1967) 691.

[33] Bracken A.J, Commun. Math. Phys. 94 (1984) 371.

[34] Bracken A.J. and J.H. MacGibbon, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 17 (1984) 2581.

[35] Deenen J. and C. Quesne, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 19 (1986) 3463.

[36] Draayer J.P. and Akiyama, J. Math. Phys. 14 (1973) 1904.

[37] Le Blanc R., and D.J. Rowe, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 19 (1986) 2913.

[38] Le Blanc R., and D.J. Rowe, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 19 (1986) 1111.

[39] Klink W.H., and T.T. Tuong, J. Comp. Phys. 80 (1989) 453.

[40] van der Waerden. Group Theoretical Methods in Quantum Mechanics, Springer-Verlag (1932).

[41] Cartan E, The Theory of Spinors, Hermann, Paris, 1966.

[42] Kramers H.A, Quantum Mechanics, North-Holland Publishing Co., 1958.

[43] Brinkman H.C., Applications of Spinor Invariants in Atomic Physics, North-Holland Publishing Co. (1956).

[44] Schwinger J., "On Angular Momentum", US Atomic Energy Commission NYO-3071, (1952) unpublished ; reprinted in Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum, ed. L.C.Biedenharn and H.Van Dam (Academic Press, 1969).

[45] Bernstein I.N., I.M. Gelfand and S.I. Gelfand, Proc. Petrovskij Sem. 2 (1976) 3. Reprinted in Izrail M. Gelfand. Collected Works Vol.II, (Springer Verlag 1988) pp 464.

[46] Karasev V.P., N.P. Shchelok, Quantum Mechanics and Statistical Methods Edited By: M.M. Sushchinskiy, Nova Science Publishers 1988, Proc. Lebdev Phys. Inst. - Academy of Sciences of the USSR. Series Ed: N.G. Basov, Vol.173.

[47] Prakash J.S., H.S.Sharatchandra, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 26 (1993) 1625-1633.

[48] Loebl E., Group Theory and its Applications, Vols.I, II, III.Academic Press.

[49] Kleima D., Nucl. Phys. 70 (1965) 577.

[50] Gelfand I.M, I. N.Bernstein, S.I. Gelfand, Models of Representations of Lie. Groups, I.M. Gelfand Collected Papers Vol II, Springer-Verlag (1988), pp494.

[51] Prakash J.S. and H.S.Sharatchandra (1992), "Optimal Boson Calculus for $SU(3)$: Unique Resolution of the Weight Lattice by Random Integers", imsc. preprint, No. imsc-92/05, to be published.

[52] Banyai L, N.Marinsen, I.Raszillier and V.Rittenberg, Phys. Lett. 14 (1965) 156.

[53] Baranger M., and E. Vogt, Ed: Advances in Nuclear Physics Vol I, Plenum Press (1968).

[54] Bargmann V. Rev. Mod. Phys. 34 (1962) 829.

[55] Beg Mirza A and H.Ruegge, J. Math. Phys. 6 (1965) 677.

[56] Behrends R.E., J. Dreitlein, C. Fronsdal, and W. Lee, Rev. Mod. Phys. 34 (1962) 1.

[57] Biedenharn L.C., J. Math. Phys. 4 (1963) 436.

[58] Biedenharn L.C., Phys. Lett. 3 (1963) 2541.

[59] Biedenharn L.C., in Lects. in Theoretical Physics. Vol 5 Ed. W.F Brittin, B.W.Downs and Joanne Downs - Inter Science Publishers (1963).

[60] Dirac P.A.M., Principles of Quantum Mechanics, Oxford University Press, 1928.

[61] Elliott J.P and M. Harvey, Proc. Roy. Society A272 (1963) 557.

[62] Regge T., NUOVO CIM. 10 (1958) 544. Reprinted in Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum, ed. L.C.Biedenharn and H.Van Dam (Academic Press, 1969).

[63] Gell-Mann M., Y.Neeman, The Eightfold Way, Benjamin INC, 1964.